ORDER SUMMARY Consumer Services Division Case Number C-21-3176

Consent Orders resolve investigations. In these, companies and individuals work with us to agree to terms that resolve the alleged issues in the investigation.

Names	Vacarros Lending, LLC ZHOMES, LLC My-Kim Thi Bui, NMLS #97166
Order Number	C-21-3176-C001
Date issued	August 16, 2023

What does this Consent Order require?

- Must pay a fine of \$150,000. \$130,000 of fine is stayed (doesn't have to be paid) until August 16, 2030, as long as Respondents comply with the order. The fine goes away on that date if Respondents have complied.
- Must pay restitution of \$27,474.01 to two members of the public.
- My-Kim Thi Bui is permanently prohibited from participating in the mortgage broker or consumer loan industry in Washington.
- Respondents agree to never apply to DFI for any license.
- Respondents must cease and desist from violating the Consumer Loan Act.
- Respondents My-Kim Thi Bui and Vacarros Lending, LLC must transfer servicing of a member of the public's loan to a company that is licensed or exempt from licensure under the Consumer Loan Act.

Need more information?

You can contact the Consumer Services Division, Enforcement unit at (360) 902-9703 or csenforcecomplaints@dfi.wa.gov. Please remember that we cannot provide financial or legal advice to members of the public. We also cannot release confidential information.

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING: Whether there has been a violation of the Consumer Loan Act of Washington by:

No.: C-21-3176-23-CO01

Vacarros Lending, LLC,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CONSENT ORDER

ZHOMES, LLC, and My-Kim Thi Bui, NMLS No. 97166,

Respondents.

COMES NOW the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions (Director), through his designee Lucinda Fazio, Division of Consumer Services Director, and Vacarros Lending, LLC (Respondent Vacarros), ZHOMES, LLC (Respondent ZHOMES), and My-Kim Thi Bui (Respondent Bui), sole owner and member of Respondent Vacarros and Respondent ZHOMES, and finding that the issues raised in the above-captioned matter may be economically and efficiently settled, agree to the entry of this Consent Order. This Consent Order is entered pursuant to chapter 31.04 of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW), and RCW 34.05.060 of the Administrative Procedure Act, based on the following:

AGREEMENT AND ORDER

The Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Consumer Services (Department) and Respondents have agreed upon a basis for resolution of the matters alleged in Statement of Charges No. C-21-3176-22-SC01 (Statement of Charges), entered June 17, 2022 (copy attached hereto). Pursuant to chapter 31.04 RCW, the Consumer Loan Act (Act), and RCW 34.05.060 of the Administrative Procedure Act, Respondents hereby agree to the Department's entry of this Consent Order and further agree that the issues raised in the above-captioned matter may be economically and efficiently settled by entry of this Consent Order. The parties intend this Consent Order to fully

CONSENT ORDER C-21-3176-23-CO01 VACARROS LENDING, LLC et al. DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Division of Consumer Services 150 Israel Rd SW PO Box 41200 Olympia, WA 98504-1200 (360) 902-8703

resolve the Statement of Charges. Respondents agree not to contest the Statement of Charges in consideration of the terms of this Consent Order.

Based upon the foregoing:

- A. Jurisdiction. It is AGREED that the Department has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the activities discussed herein.
- B. Waiver of Hearing. It is AGREED that Respondents have been informed of the right to a hearing before an administrative law judge, and hereby waive their right to a hearing and any and all administrative and judicial review of the issues raised in this matter, or of the resolution reached herein. Accordingly, Respondents, by their signatures below, withdraw their appeal to the Office of Administrative Hearings.
- C. No Admission of Liability. The parties intend this Consent Order to fully resolve the Statement of Charges and agree that Respondents neither admit nor deny any wrongdoing by the entry of this Consent Order.
- D. Cease and Desist. It is AGREED that Respondents My-Kim Thi Bui, Vacarros Lending, LLC, and ZHOMES, LLC, cease and desist from engaging in all conduct in violation of the Act, including:
 - 1. Engaging in residential mortgage loan origination and servicing;
 - 2. collecting, or attempting to collect, or both, any and all interest and fees in excess of the maximum interest and fees allowed by Washington state law on any and all residential mortgage loans secured by property located in Washington;
 - 3. collecting or attempting to collect, or recover in any manner, any amount greater than \$22,725.87 on Note A identified in the attached appendix as of October 28, 2022, less any additional payments made by Borrower A since then.
- E. Affirmative Action. Respondents My-Kim Thi Bui and Vacarros Lending, LLC, take the following affirmative action within 60 days of entry of this Consent Order: transfer the servicing of

21

Borrower A's loan (identified in the attached appendix) to a company that is licensed by the Department, or exempt from licensure under the Act.

- F. Prohibition from Industry. It is AGREED that from the date of entry of this Consent Order, Respondent Bui is permanently prohibited from participating, in any capacity, in the conduct of the affairs of any mortgage broker or consumer loan company licensed by the Department or subject to licensure or regulation by the Department.
- **G.** Application for License. It is AGREED that from the date of entry of this Consent Order, Respondents agree in perpetuity to never apply to the Department for any license under any name.
- H. Fine (Partially Stayed). It is AGREED that Respondents shall pay a fine to the Department in the amount of \$150,000, with \$20,000 paid (Paid Fine) and \$130,000 stayed (Stayed Fine). The Stayed Fine shall be stayed for a period of seven (7) years from the date of entry of this Consent Order contingent on Respondent's compliance with the Act and related rules, and this Consent Order, unless lifted pursuant to Paragraph L. Absent any action by the Department to lift the Stayed Fine pursuant to Paragraph L, Respondents' obligation to pay the Stayed Fine shall expire seven (7) years from the date of entry of this Consent Order without further action or notice by the Department.
- I. Payment to the Department. It is AGREED that Respondents shall jointly and severally pay the Paid Fine to the Department no later than September 30, 2023, in the form of a cashier's check made payable to the "Washington State Treasurer."
- J. Restitution. It is AGREED that Respondent Bui and Respondent ZHOMES, LLC shall jointly and severally pay restitution in the amount of \$27,474.01 to Borrowers B and C identified in the attached Appendix A no later than September 30, 2023, in the form of a cashier's check.

K. Confession of Judgment for Fine and Restitution. It is AGREED that Respondents have entered into a Confession of Judgment for the Paid Fine in the amount of \$20,000 owed to the Department pursuant to Paragraph H no later than September 30, 2023, and for the Restitution in the amount of \$27,474.01 owed to Borrowers B and C no later than September 30, 2023, pursuant to Paragraph J. A copy of this Confession of Judgment is attached and incorporated into this Consent Order by this reference. It is further AGREED that the Confession of Judgment shall only be entered against Respondents in the event that Respondents fail to make the payments required by this Consent Order on or before September 30, 2023. Consistent with RCW 4.60, the Department may seek entry of the judgment after September 30, 2023, if the Paid Fine owed to the Department has not been paid. Respondents shall, upon the Department's request, fully and promptly cooperate with the Department in its efforts to get the judgment entered by the superior court.

L. Lifting of Stay and Imposition of Stayed Sanctions. It is AGREED that:

1. If during the stay, the Department determines that Respondents have not complied with the Act, related rules, or this Consent Order, and the Department seeks to lift the stay and impose the Stayed Fine, the Department will first serve Respondents with a written notice of alleged noncompliance.

2. The notice will include:

- a. A description of the alleged noncompliance;
- **b.** A statement that the Department seeks to lift the stay and impose the Stayed Fine;
- c. Notice that Respondents can contest the Department's determination of noncompliance in an adjudicative hearing before an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) of the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH); and
- **d.** Notice that the notification and adjudicative hearing process provided in this Paragraph applies only to this Consent Order solely in the event any Respondent chooses to contest the Department's determination of noncompliance.

(360) 902-8703

- 3. Any Respondent who wishes to contest the Department's determination of noncompliance will have twenty (20) days from the date of receipt of the Department's notice to submit a written request to the Department for an adjudicative hearing.
- 4. The scope and issues of the adjudicative hearing are limited solely to whether or not Respondents are in violation of the terms of this Consent Order.
- 5. At the conclusion of the hearing, the ALJ will issue an initial decision. Either party may file a Petition for Review of that initial decision with the Director of the Department.
- 6. **DEFAULT:** If any Respondent does not timely request an adjudicative hearing, the Department will impose the Stayed Fine without further notice.
- M. Rights of Non-Parties. It is AGREED that the Department does not represent or have the consent of any person or entity not a party to this Consent Order to take any action concerning their personal legal rights. It is further AGREED that for any person or entity not a party to this Consent Order, this Consent Order does not limit any private rights or remedies against Respondents, or limit liability of Respondents to such claims.
- N. Authority to Execute Order. It is AGREED that the undersigned have represented and warranted that they have the full power and right to execute this Consent Order on behalf of the parties represented.
- O. Non-Compliance with Order. It is AGREED that Respondents understand that failure to abide by the terms and conditions of this Consent Order may result in further legal action by the Director. In the event of such legal action, Respondents may be responsible to reimburse the Director for the cost incurred in pursuing such action, including but not limited to, attorney fees.
- **P. Voluntarily Entered.** It is AGREED that Respondents have voluntarily entered into this Consent Order, which is effective when signed by the Director's designee.

Olympia, WA 98504-1200 (360) 902-8703

THIS ORDER ENTERED THIS 16th DAY OF August Financial Legal Examiner

CONSENT ORDER C-21-3176-23-CO01 VACARROS LENDING, LLC et al.

24

7

, 2023.

Lucinda Fazio, Director

Division of Consumer Services Department of Financial Institutions

Appendix A

Borrower & Related Note	Name	Property Address
Borrower A Note A means the Promissory Note dated on or about November 16, 2017, where promised to pay Respondent Vacarros \$58,000 with interest		
Borrowers B and C		

• II		
1		Î
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	THE SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
7	IN AND FOR THE	COUNTY OF KING
8	WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS,	NO:
9	Plaintiffs,	CONFESSION OF JUDGMENT
10	v.	(Clerk's Action Required)
11	VACARROS LENDING, LLC, ZHOMES, LLC, AND MY-KIM THI BUI,	
12	Defendants.	
13		nt Summary
14		
15	Judgment Creditor:	State of Washington Department of Financial Institutions
16 17	Einancial Institutions	Robert W. Ferguson, Washington Attorney General Stephen Manning, Assistant Attorney General Julia Eisentrout, Assistant Attorney General
18	Judgment Debtors:	Vacarros Lending, LLC, ZHOMES, LLC, and My-Kim Thi Bui (Jointly and Severally)
19	Principal Judgment Amount:	\$20,000 (Fine owed to the DFI)
20	Total Judgment Amount:	\$20,000
21	Post-Judgment Interest (per annum):	12%
22	A-S	ed Code of Washington, Judgment by Confession,
23		ES, LLC and My-Kim Thi Bui hereby authorizes
24	entry of a judgment under the following term	
25	///	
	[[+ + + +	

25

26

Factual Basis for Judgment

The State of Washington Department of Financial Institutions, Vacarros Lending, LLC, ZHOMES, LLC and My-Kim Thi Bui have agreed upon a basis for resolution of the matters alleged in the Statement of Charges No. C-21-3176-22-SC01 (Statement of Charges), entered June 17, 2022. Vacarros Lending, LLC, ZHOMES, LLC and My-Kim Thi Bui have agreed to enter into a Confession of Judgment, pursuant to chapter 4.60 RCW, in the amount of \$20,000 for payment of a fine at an interest rate of twelve percent (per annum), of which they shall be jointly and severally liable, which shall be paid to the State of Washington Department of Financial Institutions.

Authorization for Entry of Judgment

I, My-Kim Thi Bui, being duly sworn upon oath, acknowledge the debt of \$20,000 to the State of Washington Department of Financial Institutions. I authorize entry of judgment against me for the amount set forth in the judgment summary above and at an interest rate set forth in the judgment summary above.

As the authorized representative of Vacarros Lending, LLC and ZHOMES, LLC, I also acknowledge this same joint and several debt of \$20,000 to the State of Washington Department of Financial Institutions on behalf of Vacarros Lending, LLC and ZHOMES, LLC. I authorize entry of judgment against Vacarros Lending, LLC and ZHOMES, LLC, for the amount set forth in the judgment summary above.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me in Kirkeland, WA this 16 day of Ang of Ang of, 2023.

ROGIER S. EISMA Notary Public State of Washington Commission # 207528 My Comm. Expires Jun 14, 2027

Notary Public in and for the State of WA
Residing at King County, WA
My Commission expires: 06/14/27

1	Order for Entry
2	The above Confession of Judgment having been presented to this Court for entry in
3	accordance with RCW 4.60.070, the Court having found said Confession of Judgment to be
4	sufficient, now, therefore, it is hereby
5	ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court shall forthwith enter Judgment against Vacarros
6	Lending, LLC, ZHOMES, LLC and My-Kim Thi Bui in accordance with the terms of the
7	Confession of Judgment set forth above.
8	DONE IN OPEN COURT this day of, 2023.
9	
10	JUDGE/COURT COMMISSIONER
11	Presented by:
12	ROBERT W. FERGUSON
13	Attorney General
14	CTEDUENT MANDING WODA HOOCE
15	STEPHEN MANNING, WSBA #36965 JULIA EISENTROUT, WSBA #42007
16	Assistant Attorneys General Attorneys for State of Washington Department of Financial Latitude
17	Department of Financial Institutions
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	THE SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF WASHINGTON
7	IN AND FOR THE	COUNTY OF KING
8		NO:
9	Plaintiffs, v.	CONFESSION OF JUDGMENT
10	VACARROS LENDING, LLC, ZHOMES,	(Clerk's Action Required)
11	LLC, AND MY-KIM THI BUI,	(Clerk's Action Requireu)
12	Defendants.	
13	Judgment	Summary
14	Judgment Creditor:	
15	Judgment Debtors: ZF	IOMES, LLC
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	y-Kim Thi Bui (Jointly and Severally)
17	Principal Judgment Amount: \$2	7,474.01 (restitution owed to the Rogers)
18	Total Judgment Amount: \$2	7,474.01
19	Post-Judgment Interest (per annum): 12	%
20	Pursuant to Chapter 4.60 of the Revised	Code of Washington, Judgment by Confession,
21	Defendants ZHOMES, LLC and My-Kim Thi I	Bui hereby authorizes entry of a judgment under
22	the following terms:	
23	111	
24	111	
25	<u>Factual Basis</u>	for Judgment
26		

1	ZHOMES, LLC and My-Kim Thi Bui have agreed upon a basis for resolution of the
2	matters alleged in the Department of Financial Institutions Statement of Charges No. C-21-3176-
3	22-SC01 (Statement of Charges), entered June 17, 2022. ZHOMES, LLC and My-Kim Thi Bui
4	have agreed to enter into a Confession of Judgment, pursuant to chapter 4.60 RCW, in the amount
5	of \$27,474.01 for payment of restitution at an interest rate of twelve percent (per annum), of
6	which they shall be jointly and severally liable, which shall be paid to the
7	in the event that such payment has not been received by the Rogers by September 30, 2023.
8	Authorization for Entry of Judgment
9	I, My-Kim Thi Bui, being duly sworn upon oath, acknowledge the debt of \$27,474.01 to
10	I authorize entry of judgment against me for the amount set forth in the
11	judgment summary above and at an interest rate set forth in the judgment summary above should
12	I fail to pay the by September 30, 2023.
13	As the authorized representative of ZHOMES, LLC, I also acknowledge this same joint
14	and several debt of \$27,474.01 to on behalf of ZHOMES, LLC. I
15	authorize entry of judgment against ZHOMES, LLC, for the amount set forth in the judgment
16	summary above should ZHOMES fail to pay the Rogers by September 30, 2023.
17	DATED this
18	My-Kim Thi Bui, Individually
19	M. Kin The Daily and a March on & Orman
20	My-Kim Thi Bui, Managing Member & Owner, Vacarros Lending, LLC & ZHOMES, LLC
21	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me in Kirkland, WA this 16 day of Argwt, 2023.
22	N. C. D. H. C.
23	Notary Public in and for the State of WA Residing at King County, WA
24	Notary Public State of Washington State # 207528
25	Commission # 207528 My Comm. Expires Jun 14, 2027
26	

1	Order for Entry
2	The above Confession of Judgment having been presented to this Court for entry in
3	accordance with RCW 4.60.070, the Court having found said Confession of Judgment to be
4	sufficient, now, therefore, it is hereby
5	ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court shall forthwith enter Judgment against ZHOMES,
6	LLC and My-Kim Thi Bui in accordance with the terms of the Confession of Judgment set forth
7	above.
8	DONE IN OPEN COURT this day of, 2023.
9	
10	JUDGE/COURT COMMISSIONER
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES

2 IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING 3 Whether there has been a violation of the Consumer Loan Act of Washington by: 4 5 Vacarros Lending, LLC, ZHOMES, LLC, and 6 My-Kim Thi Bui, NMLS No. 97166, 7 8 9 10 11 12

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

No. C-21-3176-22-SC01

STATEMENT OF CHARGES and NOTICE OF INTENT TO ENTER AN ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, PROHIBIT FROM INDUSTRY, ORDER AFFIRMATIVE ACTION, IMPOSE FINE, ORDER REFUND or RESTITUTION, COLLECT INVESTIGATION FEE, and RECOVER COSTS AND EXPENSES

INTRODUCTION

Respondents.

Pursuant to RCW 31.04.093 and RCW 31.04.165, the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Director) is responsible for the administration of chapter 31.04 RCW, the Consumer Loan Act (Act). After having conducted an investigation pursuant to RCW 31.04.145, and based upon the facts available as of the date of this Statement of Charges, the Director, through his designee, Division of Consumer Services Director Lucinda Fazio, institutes this proceeding and finds as follows:

I. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

1.1 Respondents.

A. Respondent Vacarros Lending, LLC (Respondent Vacarros), is a Nevada Limited Liability Company that is registered with the Washington Secretary of State as a foreign limited liability company. Respondent Vacarros has never obtained a license in accordance with the Act from the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Department) to make residential mortgage loans.

1

STATEMENT OF CHARGES C-21-3176-22-SC01 VACARROS LENDING, LLC et al.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Division of Consumer Services
PO Box 41200
Olympia, WA 98504-1200
(360) 902-8703

STATEMENT OF CHARGES C-21-3176-22-SC01 VACARROS LENDING, LLC et al.

B. Respondent ZHOMES, LLC (Respondent ZHOMES), is a Washington Limited Liability Company. Respondent ZHOMES has never obtained a license in accordance with the Act from the Department to make residential mortgage loans.

- C. Respondent My-Kim Thi Bui, a/k/a Kim Bui (Respondent Bui), is the sole owner and member of Respondent Vacarros and Respondent ZHOMES. Respondent Bui previously held a loan originator license issued by the Department that was surrendered effective October 31, 2019. At all times relevant to the factual allegations that follow, it is believed that Respondent Bui resided in Washington. As of the date of this Statement of Charges, the Department believes Respondent Bui now resides in Hawaii.
- 1.2 Prior Enforcement Action. On or about March 6, 2019, the Department issued a Statement of Charges against Respondent Bui and another entity owned by her, Seattle Sound Mortgage, LLC (2019 Statement of Charges). On or about October 31, 2019, Consent Order No. C-18-2531-CO01 (2019 Consent Order) was entered by the Department to resolve the 2019 Statement of Charges.

 Under the terms of the 2019 Consent Order, Respondent Bui surrendered her loan originator license and was prohibited for a period of 4 years from the date of entry of the Consent Order from participating, in any capacity, in the conduct of the affairs of any mortgage broker or consumer loan company licensed by the Department or subject to licensure or regulation by the Department. On or about January 13, 2020, the Department entered Agreed Order Modifying Consent Order No. C-18-2531-20-AG01 that made one minor modification to Paragraph I of the 2019 Consent Order related to updating NMLS disclosures and left all other terms in effect.
- 1.3 Failure to Comply with Order issued by the Director. Following the 2019 Consent Order, Respondent Bui participated in the conduct of the affairs of a consumer loan company subject to licensure or regulation by the Department, more fully described in paragraph 1.4 below. As such,

- 1.4 Unlicensed Residential Mortgage Loan Origination and Servicing. Respondent Bui offered and negotiated the terms of at least two residential mortgage loans to at least two borrowers secured by real property located in the state of Washington.
 - A. **Borrower A.** Borrower A owns and lives in real property located in Washington.

 Borrower A is identified in Appendix A, incorporated herein by reference. On or about July 21, 2017, a Notice of Trustee's Sale was recorded providing notice that Borrower A's home would be sold on November 27, 2017. Subsequently, Respondent Bui offered and negotiated the terms of a residential mortgage loan to Borrower A.
 - B. On or about November 16, 2017, Borrower A signed a Promissory Note (Note A) promising to pay Respondent Vacarros \$58,000 with interest of 12 percent per annum from January 1, 2018. Borrower A agreed to make interest only payments on the outstanding principal balance in monthly installments beginning on January 1, 2018. Note A contained a balloon payment at maturity and was due in full on June 30, 2018. Note A provided that after maturity, or failure to make any payment, any unpaid principal shall accrue interest at the rate of 21 percent, or the maximum rate allowed by law, whichever is less.
 - C. Note A was secured by a Deed of Trust executed on or about November 16, 2017, against Borrower A's primary residence. Respondent Bui and Respondent Vacarros knew the loan was for personal, family, or household use, and knew that it was secured by a deed of trust against Borrower A's primary residence.
 - D. At the closing of Note A, Borrower A's current mortgage that was the subject of the pending foreclosure sale was paid off in the amount of \$39,731.62. Respondent
 Vacarros charged Borrower A \$16,335 in non-third party fees in connection with the

- origination of Borrower A's residential mortgage loan that were added to the principal balance of the loan amount in Note A.
- E. Between at least November 16, 2017, and April 6, 2021, Respondent Bui and Respondent Vacarros collected and/or received payments on Note A due to Respondent Vacarros. Respondent Bui and Respondent Vacarros also attempted to collect additional fees for servicing Note A and threatened to pursue foreclosure if Note A was not paid in full.
- F. Borrower A contacted Respondent Bui at least as early as February 16, 2021, and requested a payoff statement. On or about April 6, 2021, Respondent Bui provided a payoff demand stating \$110,396.89 was due on the loan. Respondent Vacarros demanded payment based on the default interest rate in Note A of 21% that is well above the 12% maximum interest rate allowed under RCW 19.52, the State of Washington's usury statute. Respondent Vacarros payoff demand credited Borrower A for making 32 payments of \$580 on the loan. Borrower A had actually made 40 payments of \$580. Respondent Vacarros failed to apply all payments. Borrower A disputed the payoff amount. As of the date of this Statement of Charges, Note A has not been paid off and continues to be secured by a Deed of Trust.
- G. **Borrowers B & C.** Borrowers B and C own and live in real property located in Washington. Borrowers B and C are identified in Appendix A, incorporated herein by reference. On or about August 8, 2018, a Notice of Trustee's Sale was recorded providing notice that Borrowers B and C's home would be sold on December 14, 2018. Subsequently, Respondent Bui offered and negotiated the terms of a residential mortgage loan to Borrowers B and C.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
?	3

- H. On or about October 19, 2018, Borrowers B & C signed a Promissory Note (Note B) promising to pay Respondent ZHOMES \$32,938.42 with interest of 12 percent per annum from October 26, 2018. Borrowers B and C agreed to make interest only payments of \$330 on the outstanding principal balance in monthly installments beginning on December 1, 2018. Note B contained a balloon payment at maturity and was due in full on December 30, 2019. Note B provided that after maturity, or failure to make any payment, any unpaid principal shall accrue interest at the rate of 18 percent, or the maximum rate allowed by law, whichever is less. The default interest rate of Note B was above the 12% maximum interest rate allowed under RCW 19.52.
- I. Note B was secured by a Deed of Trust executed on or about October 19, 2018, against Borrowers B and C's primary residence. Respondent Bui and Respondent ZHOMES knew the loan to Borrowers B and C was for personal, family, or household use and knew that it was secured by a deed of trust against Borrowers B and C's primary residence.
- J. At the closing of Note B, Borrowers B and C's current mortgage that was the subject of the pending foreclosure sale was reinstated in the amount of \$17,086.84.
- K. Respondent ZHOMES charged Borrowers B and C \$15,000 in non-third party fees in connection with the origination of Borrowers B and C's residential mortgage loan that were added to the principal balance of the loan. Borrowers B and C paid off Note B on or about May 26, 2020, in the amount of 43,072.06, that included the \$15,000 in non-third party fees that was added to the original principal balance and its accrued interest, and also included the payoff of \$3,150 additional non-third party fees charged by Respondent ZHOMES.

- L. Between October 19, 2018, and May 26, 2020, Respondent Bui and Respondent
 ZHOMES collected and/or received payments on Note B due to Respondent
 ZHOMES. Respondent Bui and Respondent ZHOMES also collected additional fees for servicing Note B.
- 1.5 Misrepresentations and Omissions. Respondents represented that they were licensed to provide residential mortgage loans and to conduct residential mortgage servicing, or omitted disclosing that they were not licensed to provide those services. Respondent Bui omitted disclosing to Borrowers A, B and C, that she was the owner of the entities that originated the loans. Respondent Bui on at least three occasions made misrepresentations to Borrower A regarding the identity and Respondent Bui's relationship to the entities or investors that originated the loan.
- 1.6 Additional Unfair or Deceptive Practices. On or about February 15, 2021, and February 16, 2021, Respondent Bui told Borrower A the home on the property was not worth anything and pressured Borrower A to sell the property to her investor. Respondent Bui made Borrower A an offer to purchase the property and suggested Borrower A accept the offer or face foreclosure.
- 1.7 Additional Unlicensed Mortgage Loan Originator Activity. On at least two occasions on or about March, 26, 2019, and on or about October 3, 2019, Respondent Bui for compensation or gain took a residential mortgage loan application, or offered or negotiated terms of a residential mortgage loan to Borrower A while Respondent Bui's loan originator license with the Department was inactive and she was not authorized to act as a loan originator.
- 1.8 Failed to Use Prudent Underwriting Standards. Respondents made loans with balloon payments to borrowers A and B & C and failed to determine the borrowers' ability to repay their loans, by, at a minimum, completing an assessment of the borrowers' debt to income ratio as required by the Act. Respondents relied on the assumption that the borrowers would sell their homes or refinance the loans.

1.9 On-Going Investigation. The Department's investigation into the alleged violations of the Act by Respondents continues to date.

II. GROUNDS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER

- Allegations in Section I, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.04.035(1) for making secured or unsecured loans of money, things in action, or extending credit, or servicing or modifying the terms or conditions of residential mortgage loans, without first obtaining and maintaining a license in accordance with the Act. Pursuant to RCW 31.04.035(2), if a transaction violations RCW 31.04.035(1), any and all non-third party fees charged in connection with the origination of the residential mortgage loan must be refunded to the borrower, excluding interest charges.
- **2.2 Definition of Residential Mortgage Loan.** Pursuant to RCW 31.04.015(24), "residential mortgage loan" means any loan primarily for personal, family, or household use that is secured by a mortgage, deed of trust, or other security interest on a dwelling.
- **2.3** Requirement to Obtain and have an Active Mortgage Loan Originator License. Based on the Factual Allegations in Section I, Respondent Bui is in apparent violation of RCW 31.04.221 for engaging in the business of a mortgage loan originator without first obtaining and maintaining annually a license under the Act.
- **2.4 Definition of Mortgage Loan Originator.** Pursuant to RCW 31.04.015(18)(a), "Mortgage loan originator" means an individual who for compensation or gain (i) takes a residential mortgage loan application, or (ii) offers or negotiates terms of a residential mortgage loan.
- **2.5 Definition of Borrower.** Pursuant to RCW 31.04.015(4), "Borrower" means any person who consults with or retains a licensee or person subject to this chapter in an effort to obtain or seek information about obtaining a loan, regardless of whether that person actually obtains such a loan.

1	2.6 Requirement to Comply with Washington State Usury Act. Pursuant to RCW
2	19.52.020(1), any rate of interest shall be legal so long as the rate of interest does not exceed the
3	higher of (a) twelve percent per annum; or (b) four percentage points above the equivalent coupon
4	issue yield (as published by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System) of the average
5	bill rate for twenty-six week treasury bills as determined at the first bill market auction conducted
6	during the calendar month immediately preceding the later of (i) the establishment of the interest rate
7	by written agreement of the parties to the contract, or (ii) any adjustment in the interest rate in the
8	case of a written agreement permitting an adjustment in the interest rate. Pursuant to RCW
9	19.52.025, each month the state treasurer shall compute the highest rate of interest permissible under
10	RCW 19.52.020(1) and shall file these rates with the state code reviser for publication in the
11	Washington State Register. For at least the period relevant to all Factual Allegations in Section I, the
12	maximum rate of interest permissible under RCW 19.52.020(1) has been 12% per annum.
13	2.7 Washington State Usury Act-Application of Consumer Protection Act. Pursuant to RCW
14	19.52.036, entering into or transacting a usurious contract is declared to be an unfair act or practice in
15	the conduct of commerce for the purposes of the application of the Consumer Protection Act found in
16	chapter 19.86 RCW.
17	2.8 Prohibited Acts. Based on the Based on the Factual Allegations in Section I, Respondents
18	are in apparent violation of RCW 31.04.0271(b) for directly or indirectly engaging in any unfair or
19	deceptive practice toward any person, RCW 31.04.027(1)(c) for directly or indirectly obtaining
20	property by fraud or misrepresentation, and RCW 31.04.027(1)(m) for violating any applicable state
21	or federal law relating to the activities governed by the Act.
22	2.9 Consumer Loan Act-Application of Consumer Protection Act. Pursuant to RCW
23	31.04.208, the legislature finds that the practices governed by the Act are matters vitally affecting the
24	public interest for the purpose of applying the Consumer Protection Act, chapter 19.86 RCW. Any STATEMENT OF CHARGES 8 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

1	violation of the Act is not reasonable in relation to the development and preservation of business and
2	is an unfair and deceptive act or practice and an unfair method of competition in the conduct of trade
3	or commerce in violation of RCW 19.86.020.
4	2.10 Requirement to Promptly Credit Payments Received. Based on the Factual Allegations in
5	Section I, Respondent Bui and Respondent Vacarros are in apparent violation of RCW
6	31.04.027(1)(b) for directly or indirectly engaging in any unfair or deceptive practice toward any
7	person, and RCW 31.04.290(1)(e) and WAC 208-620-900(3)(a), for failing to promptly credit all
8	payments received and for failing to promptly correct any errors resulting from the servicer's error.
9	2.11 Failure to Ensure Underwriting Standards Consistent with Prudent Lending Practices.
10	Based on the Factual Allegations in Section I, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW
11	31.04.027(1)(b) for directly or indirectly engaging in any unfair or deceptive practice toward any
12	person, and WAC 208-620-506 for failing to ensure underwriting standards are consistent with
13	prudent lending practices by failing to conduct an analysis of borrowers' ability to repay.
14	III. AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE SANCTIONS
15	3.1 Authority to Issue an Order to Cease and Desist. Pursuant to RCW 31.04.093(5)(a), the
16	Director may issue orders directing a licensee, its employee, loan originator, or other person subject
17	to the Act to cease and desist from conducting business in a manner that is injurious to the public or
18	violates any provision of the Act.
19	3.2 Authority to Prohibit from the Industry. Pursuant to RCW 31.04.093(6), the Director may
20	issue an order prohibiting from participation in the affairs of any licensee, any officer, principal,
21	employee, mortgage loan originator, or any other person subject to the Act for a violation of RCW
22	31.04.027, or RCW 31.04.221, or for failure to obtain a license for activity that requires a license.
23	
24	

1	3.3 Authority to Order Affirmative Action. Pursuant to RCW 31.04.093(5)(b), the Director
2	may issue an order directing a licensee, its employee, loan originator, or other person subject to the
3	Act to take such affirmative action as is necessary to comply with the Act.
4	3.4 Authority to Impose Fine. Pursuant to RCW 31.04.093(4), the Director may impose fines of
5	up to one hundred dollars per day, per violation, upon the licensee, its employee or loan originator, or
6	any other person subject to the Act for any violation of the Act or failure to comply with any order or
7	subpoena issued by the Director under the Act.
8	3.5 Authority to Order Refund or Restitution. Pursuant to RCW 31.04.093(5)(c) and (d), the
9	Director may issue an order directing a licensee, its employee or loan originator, or any other person
10	subject to the Act to make a refund or restitution to a borrower or other person who is damaged as a
11	result of a violation of the Act, or to refund all fees received through any violation of the Act.
12	3.6 Authority to Charge Investigation Fee. Pursuant to RCW 31.04.145(3) and WAC 208-620-
13	610(7), every licensee or person subject to the Act investigated by the Director or the Director's
14	designee shall pay for the cost of the investigation, calculated at the rate of \$69.01 per staff hour
15	devoted to the investigation.
16	3.7 Authority to Recover Costs and Expenses. Pursuant to RCW 31.04.205(2), the Director
17	may recover the state's costs and expenses for prosecuting violations of the Act.
18	IV. NOTICE OF INTENT TO ENTER ORDER
19	Respondents' violations of the provisions of chapter 31.04 RCW and chapter 208-620 WAC,
20	as set forth in the above Factual Allegations, Grounds for Entry of Order, and Authority to Impose
21	Sanctions, constitute a basis for the entry of an Order under RCW 31.04.093, RCW 31.04.165, RCW

4.1 Respondents My-Kim Thi Bui, Vacarros Lending, LLC, and Zhomes, LLC, cease and desist from engaging in all conduct in violation of the Act, including:

22

23

31.04.202, and RCW 31.04.205. Therefore, it is the Director's intent to ORDER that:

(360) 902-8703

V. AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE

This Statement of Charges is entered pursuant to the provisions of RCW 31.04.093, RCW 31.04.165, RCW 31.04.202, and RCW 31.04.205, and is subject to the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW (The Administrative Procedure Act). Respondents may make a written request for a hearing as set forth in the NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR ADJUDICATIVE HEARING AND TO DEFEND accompanying this Statement of Charges.

Dated this 17th day of June, 2022.



Lucinda Fazio, Director Division of Consumer Services Department of Financial Institutions

Presented by:



KENDALL FREED Financial Legal Examiner

Approved by:



JACK McCLELLAN Enforcement Chief

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

2324

STATEMENT OF CHARGES C-21-3176-22-SC01 VACARROS LENDING, LLC et al.