RESPONDENTS ARE IN NO WAY AFFILIATED WITH PACIFIC RIM CAPITAL, INC., OF ALISO VIEJO, CALIFORNIA, AS A PARENT COMPANY, SUBSIDIARY, BRANCH, PREDECESSOR-IN-INTEREST, SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST, ASSIGNOR OR ASSIGNEE.

CONSENT ORDER SUMMARY – Case Number: C-11-006

Name(s):	Checkmania, Inc., Susan D. Bassford, and Linda S. Sonder

Order Number: C-11-006-12-CO01
Effective Date: February 21, 2013

License Numbers: 530-SL-16273 and 530-CC-16273

(Revoked, suspended, stayed, app. denied or withdrawn. If applicable, specifically note the ending dates.

License Effect: None

Not Apply Until: N/A
Prohibition/Ban Until: N/A

Investigation Costs:	\$ 40,000.00	Due: When entered	Paid: Y	Date: 2/20/13	
Fines:	\$ 0	Due: N/A	Paid: N/A	Date	
Fin. Literacy & Ed.:	\$ 30,000.00	Due: When entered	Paid: Y	Date: 2/20/13	
Restitution:	\$ 15,406.13	Due: w/i 5 days of entry	Paid: Unk.	Date: Unk.	
Debt Waived:	\$ 13,221.89	Due: When entered	Mailed: Unk	Date: Unk	
Consumers Impacted:	261 consumers received an average of \$110 in restitution or waivers.				

Other:

Respondents made limited admissions and agreed not take any action or make any public statements creating the impression that the CO was without factual basis; agreed to permanently cease and desist (C&D) making loans as outlined in the SOC; agreed to permanently C&D making payday loans that exceed the statutory limits set forth in the Act; agreed to permanently C&D characterizing retail installment sales as loans; and agreed to permanently C&D advertising, directly or indirectly, that retail installment sales are an alternative to or substitute for payday loans made under the Act.

In addition, Respondents agreed to certain affirmative action as necessary to comply with the Act going forward, to wit: Within 120 days of entry, Respondents shall review and revise, as necessary, their policies and procedures to ensure they are reasonably designed to detect and prevent future violations of the Act, and to provide a copy of the revised policies and procedures to the Department; shall, within 150 days of entry, provide training to all WA employees concerning compliance with the Act, related federal laws, this CO, and Respondent's revised policies and procedures; and shall, for two years following entry, provide the Department with no less than one month advance notice of the roll-out or launch of any new loan product in WA by seeking a written opinion of the Director as to the legality of offering and selling the loan product in WA under the Act.

Special:			

Distribution:

CONSENT ORDER SUMMARY – Case Number: C-11-006

Name(s): Checkmate Express Corp.

Order Number: C-11-006-12-CO02

Effective Date: February 21, 2013

License Numbers: 530-SL-23559 and 530-CC-23559

(Revoked, suspended, stayed, app. denied or withdrawn. If applicable, note specific ending dates.)

License Effect: None

Not Apply Until: N/A

Prohibition/Ban Until: N/A

Investigation Costs:	\$	0	Due: N/A	Paid: N/A	Date: N/A
Fines:	\$	0	Due: N/A	Paid: N/A	Date: N/A
Fin. Literacy & Ed.:	\$	0	Due: N/A	Paid: N/A	Date: N/A
Restitution:	\$	0	Due: N/A	Paid: N/A	Date: N/A
Debt Waived:	\$	0	Due: N/A	Mailed: N/A	Date: N/A
Consumers Impacted:	Unl	known			

Other:

Respondents agreed to permanently cease and desist (C&D) making loans as outlined in the SOC in WA; agreed to permanently C&D making payday loans that exceed the statutory limits set forth in the Act; agreed to permanently C&D characterizing retail installment sales as loans; and agreed to permanently C&D advertising, directly or indirectly, that retail installment sales are an alternative to or substitute for payday loans made under the Act.

Special: None

Distribution:

RESPONDENTS ARE IN NO WAY AFFILIATED WITH PACIFIC RIM CAPITAL, INC., OF ALISO VIEJO, CALIFORNIA, AS A PARENT COMPANY, SUBSIDIARY, BRANCH, PREDECESSOR-IN-INTEREST, SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST, ASSIGNOR OR ASSIGNEE.

STATE OF WASHINGTON 2010 FEB 19 AM 10: 48 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES OF A STATE OF TON

1

3

4

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING

Whether there has been a violation of the

Check Cashers and Sellers Act of Washington by:

CHECKMANIA, INC., d/b/a CHECKMATE,

CHECKMATE EXPRESS CORPORATION, d/b/a Checkmate Express, SUSAN DENISE

BASSFORD, President and Owner, and LINDA

SUSAN SONDER, Vice President and Owner,

5

7

8

9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

CONSENT ORDER C-11-006-12-C001 Checkmania, Inc., Susan D. Bassford, and Linda S. Sonder NO. C-11-006-12-CO01

CONSENT ORDER as to CHECKMANIA, INC., SUSAN DENISE BASSFORD, and LINDA SUSAN SONDER

COMES NOW the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions (Director), by and through his designee Deborah Bortner, Division Director, Division of Consumer Services, and Checkmania, Inc., d/b/a Checkmate, Susan D. Bassford, President, and Linda S. Sonder, Vice President (collectively Respondents), by and through their attorney, Jeremy T. Rosenblum of Ballard Spahr LLP, and finding that the issues raised in the above-captioned matter may be economically and efficiently settled, agree to the entry of this Consent Order. This Consent Order is entered pursuant to chapter 31.45 of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW), and RCW 34.05.060 of the Administrative Procedure Act.

Respondents.

AGREEMENT AND ORDER

The Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Consumer Services (Department) and Respondents have agreed upon a basis for resolution of the matters as related to Respondents alleged in Temporary Order to Cease and Desist No. C-11-006-11-TD01 (Order to Cease and Desist), entered January 19, 2011, and as alleged in Statement of Charges No. C-11-006-11-SC01 (Statement of Charges), entered February 23, 2011, incorporated herein by reference and attached hereto.

Pursuant to RCW 31.45, the Check Cashers and Sellers Act (the Act), Respondents hereby agree to the Department's entry of this Consent Order and agree not to further contest the Order to Cease and

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Division of Consumer Services
150 Israel Road SW
Olympia, WA 98504-1200
360-902-8703

19.

CONSENT ORDER
C-11-006-12-CO01
Checkmania, Inc., Susan D. Bassford, and Linda S. Sonder

Desist or the Statement of Charges as they relate to Respondents. The parties intend this Consent Order to fully resolve the Order to Cease and Desist and the Statement of Charges as they relate to Respondents.

Based upon the foregoing:

- A. Jurisdiction. It is AGREED that the Department has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the activities discussed herein.
- B. Waiver of Hearing. It is AGREED that Respondents have been informed of the right to a hearing before an administrative law judge, and hereby waive their right to a hearing and any and all administrative and judicial review of the issues raised in this matter, or of the resolution reached herein. Accordingly, Respondents, by their signatures and the signature of their representative below, withdraw their appeal to the Office of Administrative Hearings.
- C. Admissions. Respondents admit that in some transactions, Respondents made incorrect or inaccurate disclosures of the annual percentage rate to consumers due to a computer programming error. In addition, Respondents admit that in some transactions, Respondents mischaracterized the nature of the transactions as retail installment loans rather than as retail installment sales. With those exceptions Respondents neither admit nor deny the Factual Allegations of the Order to Cease and Desist or the Statement of Charges. Respondents AGREE not to take any action or to make or permit to be made any public statement creating the impression that this Consent Order is without factual basis. Nothing in this paragraph affects Respondents' (a) testimonial obligations; (b) right to take legal or factual positions in defense of litigation; or (c) right to make public statements that are factual.
- D. Cease and Desist. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(b), the Director may order

 Respondents to cease and desist practices which violate the Act. Accordingly, it is AGREED and

 ORDERED that:

11.

12

13

1415

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CONSENT ORDER
C-11-006-12-CO01
Checkmania, Inc., Susan D. Bassford, and Linda S. Sonder

- 1. Respondents permanently cease and desist from offering the Retail Installment Loan Program, as detailed in the Statement of Charges, in Washington. Subject to the two-year notice requirement contained in Section H. 3., nothing in this Consent Order prohibits Respondents from engaging in retail installment sales that are fully compliant with the Act and other Washington laws.
- 2. Respondents permanently cease and desist from making small loans (payday loans) to Washington borrowers that exceed the statutory limits set forth in the Act, as presently written or hereafter amended.
- 3. Respondents permanently cease and desist from characterizing retail installment sales as loans, and advertising directly or indirectly that retail installment sales are an alternative to or a substitute for small loans (payday loans) made under the Act.
- E. Restitution. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(d), the Director may order Respondents to make restitution to borrowers for violations of the Act. Accordingly, it is AGREED and ORDERED that within five (5) days of the date of entry of this Consent Order, Respondents shall pay restitution totaling \$15,406.31 to all consumers who entered into retail installment contracts with Respondents between June 1, 2010, and May 1, 2011, as identified in Attachment A to this Consent Order. The restitution payments shall be accompanied by an explanatory cover letter printed on Checkmania, Inc. letterhead as detailed in Attachment B to this Consent Order. In the event that any borrower cannot be located, or if a restitution check is not cashed within 180 days of issuance, Respondents shall submit those funds to the Washington State Department of Revenue (Department of Revenue) as unclaimed property on behalf of the specified borrower, subject to the rules and regulations of the Unclaimed Property Section of the Department of Revenue. Within 200 days of the entry of this Consent Order, Respondents shall provide the Department with written proof that all restitution payments have been made or that unclaimed funds have been submitted to the Department of Revenue. Proof of restitution payments made shall be in the form of copies of the front and back of each cancelled check. Proof of fund submission to the Department of Revenue shall be in the form of copies of all unclaimed property reports submitted to the Department of Revenue.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0

F. Waiver of Unpaid Principal, Finance Charges, or Fees. It is further AGREED and
ORDERED that Respondents shall permanently waive and forego collection of \$13,221.89 in unpaid
principle, finance charges, or fees for all consumers who entered into retail installment contracts
with Respondents between June 1, 2010, and May 1, 2011, as identified in Attachment C to this
Consent Order. The notice shall be provided by an explanatory cover letter printed on Checkmania,
Inc. letterhead as detailed in Attachment D to this Consent Order. Within 120 days of the entry of
this Consent Order, Respondents shall provide the Department with written proof that all notices
were mailed, and provide a list of all notices that were returned.

- G. Rights of Non-Parties. It is AGREED that the Department does not represent or have the consent of any person or entity not a party to this Consent Order to take any action concerning their personal legal rights. It is further AGREED that for any person or entity not a party to this Consent Order, this Consent Order does not limit or create any private rights or remedies against Respondents, limit or create liability of Respondents, or limit or create defenses of Respondents.
- H. Affirmative Action. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(d), the Director may order Respondents to take affirmative action as necessary to comply with the Act. Accordingly, it is AGREED and ORDERED that Respondents shall provide affirmative action as follows:
 - 1. Within 120 days of entry of this Consent Order, Respondents shall review and make necessary changes to Respondent Checkmania Inc.'s existing policies and procedures to ensure they address the allegations raised in the Statement of Charges, are reasonably designed to detect and prevent future violations of the Act, and provide a copy of the revised policies and procedures to the Department.
 - 2. Within 150 days of entry of this Consent Order, Respondents shall provide training to all Washington-based employees concerning compliance with the Act, related federal laws, this Consent Order, and Respondent Checkmania Inc.'s revised policies and procedures.
 - 3. For two years following the entry of the Consent Order, Respondents shall provide the Department with no less than one-month advance notice of the roll-out or launch of any new loan product by Respondent Checkmania, Inc.

in Washington State, preferably by seeking a written opinion of the Director of the Consumer Services Division as to the legality of offering and selling the new loan product in Washington under the Act.

- I. Financial Literacy and Education. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(5), the Director may accept payments to the Department for purposes of financial literacy and education. Accordingly, in further compromise and in consideration of the additional terms set forth herein, it is AGREED that upon entry of this Consent Order Respondents shall pay \$30,000.00 to the Department for purposes of financial literacy and education in the form of a cashier's check made payable to the "Washington State Treasurer."
- J. Investigation Fee. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.100, the Director shall collect the actual costs of an investigation under the Act. In compromise, and in consideration of the additional terms set forth herein, it is AGREED and ORDERED that upon entry of this Consent Order Respondents shall pay to the Department an investigation fee of \$40,000.00, in the form of a cashier's check made payable to the "Washington State Treasurer," upon entry of this Consent Order. The financial literacy and education payment and the investigative fee may be paid together in one \$70,000.00 cashier's check made payable to the "Washington State Treasurer."
- K. Authority of Department. It is AGREED that nothing in this Consent Order shall be construed as preventing the Department from fully exercising its authority under the Act to investigate and prosecute violations of the Act or this Consent Order by Respondents.
- L. Authority to Execute Order. It is AGREED that the undersigned have represented and warranted that they have the full power and right to execute this Consent Order on behalf of the parties represented. It is further AGREED that this Consent Order may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original, but all of which, taken together, shall constitute one and the same agreement (Consent Order).

Division of Consumer Services

150 Israel Road SW Olympia, WA 98504-1200

360-902-8703

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Checkmania, Inc., Susan D. Bassford, and Linda S. Sonder

DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE

ENTERED THIS 2DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2013.



DEBORAH BORTNER

Director, Division of Consumer Services Department of Financial Institutions

Presented by:

ANTHONY W. CARTER Senior Enforcement Attorney

Approved by:

CHARLES E. CLARK Enforcement Chief

21

22

23

24

CONSENT ORDER C-11-006-12-CO01 Checkmania, Inc., Susan D. Bassford, and Linda S. Sonder DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Division of Consumer Services 150 Israel Road SW Olympia, WA 98504-1200 360-902-8703

CUST	RETAIL	A	MNT.
ID	CARD	REST.	
-	COUNT		
-	7	\$	54.02
ļ_	1	\$	6.43
1	4	\$	81.44
-	6	\$	162.87
-	1	\$	10.72
-	1	\$	8.53
-	3	\$	73.93
-	1	\$	15.00
-	1		7.50
-		\$	27.86
-	1	\$	42.86
-		\$	19.29
-	11	\$	21.43
!		\$	442.54
ŀ	3	\$	135.01
-	1	\$	25.59
	1	\$	10.72
	2	\$	40.72
1	1	\$	77.15
	1	\$	1.07
	1	\$	19.19
	4	\$	70.30
	2	\$	57.86
	3	\$	109.07
	15	\$	540.71
	3	\$	51.43
]	1	\$	36.43
	1	\$	42.86
ļ	. 1	\$	12.79
!	1	\$	7.50
	1	\$	7.11
	4	\$	78.75
	4	\$	75.33
	2	\$	67.81
	4 2 1 3 3 2 1	\$	21.43
	3	\$	73.17
	3	\$	72.87
	2	\$	46.07
	1 1	\$	12.86
	23	\$	454.63
	1	\$	5.69

CUST	RETAIL CARD	l .	AMNT.
ID	COUNT		REST.
	2	\$	30.99
	3	\$	100.72
	1	\$	6.43
	9	\$	192.40
	1	\$	6.43
	5	\$	142.62
	11	\$	45.00
_	1	\$	32.15
_	1	\$	9.64
	1	\$	57.86
	1	\$	19.29
	2	\$	27.86
!	8	\$	243.52
!!!	3	\$	26.80
! .	1	\$	9.95
ļ	1	\$	93.22
	1	\$	62.15
<u> </u>	2	\$	50.58
	3	\$.	72.86
ļ	8	\$	343.50
-	1	\$	35.66
ļ .	6	\$	96.25
-	6	\$	133.94
·	4	\$	182.17
-	2	\$	65.36
-		\$	15.64
} _	2	\$ \$	56.80
-	5 .		38.58
-	1	\$	99.64
			9.64
-	3 2	\$	87.09
!	1	\$ \$	20.61
_	1 1 2 2 6	\$	12.08
	2	\$	5.69 46.91
-	2	\$	173.58
	6	\$	74.04
	2	\$	116.64
	2 4	\$	50.43
-	1	\$	62.15
-	3	\$	28.47
		Ψ	4 0.⊤/

CYLCON	RETAIL	A	N. C. N. P. C.
CUST	CARD	1	MNT.
ID	COUNT	K	EST.
	5	\$	188.58
_	5 3 1	\$	66.43
	1	\$	17.14
Ī	2	\$	48.22
	4	\$	77.44
	7	\$	102.69
	2 4 7 2 1 3	\$	22.50 27.32
	1	\$,	27.32
	3	\$	56.64
_	3	\$	51.44
_	6	\$	94.29
	14	\$	307.22
	1	\$	24.23
		\$	20.61
	2 2 3 3	\$	154.29
	2	\$	16.07
	2	\$	35,36
	3	\$	39.19
_	3	\$	43.17
_	1	\$	17.06
	8	\$	165.32
<u>.</u>	4	\$	238.96
	2	\$	54.65
_	1	\$	3.55
	1	\$	19.90
_	1	\$	72.86
_	1	\$	7.50
	2	\$	39.88
-		\$	15.00
	-1	\$	9,95
-	6	\$	63.13
	4	\$	205.16
_	2	\$	35.36
_	1	\$	13.93
_	9		132.87
_	2 11	\$	26.29
	11	\$	476.33
	5	\$	140.50
-	5 1 2	\$	95.95
-	2	\$	22.74
	2	\$	120.01

CUST ID	RETAIL CARD COUNT	AMNT. REST.	
	2	\$	60.86
	3	\$	153.22
	2	\$	18.19
	2	\$	56.79
	1	\$	11.79
	4	\$	81.44
	2 3 2 2 1 4 7 2 5	\$	67.05
	2	\$	101.80
	5	\$	121.72
	1	\$	17.14
	2	\$	103.94
		\$	21.43
	7	\$	215.38
	1	\$	19.29
	1	\$	41.58
	5	\$	154.31
	2 12	\$	37.50
	12	\$	172.72
	9	\$	148.62
	1	\$	62.15
	6	\$	89.98
	2	\$	72.86
	2	\$	37.80
	1	\$	21.43
	1	\$	17.06
	1	\$	15.00
	2	\$	61.27
	4	\$	225.02
	1	\$	57.57
	1	\$	26.30
	1	\$	53.58
	1	\$	11.37
	3	\$	34.26
	1	\$	34.26 25.72
	1	\$	27.86
	3 1 1 1 1	\$ \$ \$ \$	15.64 5.69
		\$	5.69
	1 1	\$	4.97
	1	\$	16.07
		\$	34.29
	2	\$	33.21

ATTACHMENT A: RESTITUTION LIST

CUST ID	RETAIL CARD COUNT	AMNT. REST.	
	1	\$	19.19
	6	\$	234.66
	2	\$	21.43
	4	\$	126.44
	2	\$	30.56
	1	\$	22.50
	4	\$	53.58
	3	\$	61.09
	4	\$	303.02
	. 3	\$	64.30
	1	\$	21.43
	4	\$	130.73
	1	\$	24.87
<u> </u>	1	\$	7.50
<u> </u>	1	\$	11.79
	2	\$	31.08
ļ	1	\$	19.29
	2	\$	31.08
-		\$	15.00
-	3	\$	103.93
-	1	\$	7.50
ļ 	1	\$	10.72
-	1	\$	10.72
-	3	\$	81.43
-	1	\$	25.72
 	3	\$	49.82
-	5	\$	54.65
 -	1	\$	15.00
-	5	\$	158.57
	7	\$	30.00 252.87
-		_	
	3	\$	80.37
-	3	\$	115.72
-	1 1	\$	6.43
-	1	\$	11.79 11.79
-	6	\$	192.88
-		\$	
-	3	\$	189.66
-	3	\$	67.50 68.57
	1	\$	38.58
	<u> </u>	μΦ.	20,26

ATTACHMENT A: RESTITUTION LIST

CUST ID	RETAIL CARD COUNT	AMNT. REST.	
	1	\$	40.72
	1	\$	50.36
	3	\$	109.30
	1	\$	25.72
	2	\$	112.51
	1	\$	12.86
	3	\$	66.44
	2	\$	137.16
TOTALS:	591	\$	15,406.31

CUST ID	RETAIL CARD COUNT	ì	MNT. AIVED
	2 ·	\$	301.79
	3	\$	407.52
	1	\$	160.00
	3	\$	361.98
	1	\$	141.37
	1	\$	249.90
	1	\$	64.95
	3	\$	397.50
		\$	60.00
	1	\$	139.95
	3	\$	373.40
	3	\$	416.79
	2	\$	489.85 ⁻
	2	\$	278.48
	2	\$	0.79
		\$	260.00
_	1	\$	137.11
	1	\$	359.85
	3	\$	294.29
	1	\$	139.95
_	2	\$	30.00
	6	\$	460.73
<u>-</u>	3	\$	419.85
-		\$	260.00
_	1	\$	261.27
-	3	\$	150.36
<u>.</u>	1	\$	57.86
-	1	\$	255.72
-	1	\$	147.77
-	3	\$	141.79
-		\$	262.15
-	2	\$	249.90
-	1	\$	264.11
-	2 1 2 2 2 1 9	\$ \$ \$	169.95
	1 2	\$	376.91
-	1 2	3	385.59
-	1 7	\$	252.74
-	y	\$	717.86
-	3	\$	410.37
-	1	\$	313.58
	1 1	\$	153.57

ATTACHMENT C: WAIVER LIST

CUST ID	RETAIL CARD COUNT	AMNT. WAIVED	
	1	\$	149.29
	2	\$	152.50
	1	\$	166.43
	4	\$	131.79
	1	\$3	163.22
	1	\$	266.43
	2	\$	678.94
	3	\$	149.29
	1	\$	290.01
	1	\$	30.01
	1	\$	266.43
TOTALS	103	\$	13,221.89

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES

2

1

3

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING

Whether there has been a violation of the

Check Cashers and Sellers Act of Washington by:

CHECKMANIA, INC., d/b/a CHECKMATE, CHECKMATE EXPRESS CORPORATION, d/b/a Checkmate Express, SUSAN DENISE

BASSFORD, President and Owner, and LINDA

SUSAN SONDER, Vice President and Owner,

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CONSENT ORDER as to CHECKMATE **EXPRESS CORPORATION**

NO. C-11-006-12-CO02

COMES NOW the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions (Director), by and through his designee Deborah Bortner, Division Director, Division of Consumer Services, and Checkmate Express Corporation, d/b/a Checkmate Express (Respondent), by and through its attorney, Jeremy T. Rosenblum of Ballard Spahr LLP, and finding that the issues raised in the above-captioned matter may be economically and efficiently settled, agree to the entry of this Consent Order. This Consent Order is entered pursuant to chapter 31.45 of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW), and RCW 34.05.060 of the Administrative Procedure Act.

Respondents.

AGREEMENT AND ORDER

The Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Consumer Services (Department) and Respondent have agreed upon a basis for resolution of the matters as related to Respondent alleged in Temporary Order to Cease and Desist No. C-11-006-11-TD01 (Order to Cease and Desist), entered January 19, 2011, and as alleged in Statement of Charges No. C-11-006-11-SC01 (Statement of Charges), entered February 23, 2011, incorporated herein by reference and attached hereto. Pursuant to RCW 31.45, the Check Cashers and Sellers Act (the Act), Respondent hereby agrees to

CONSENT ORDER C-11-006-12-CO02 Checkmate Express Corporation, d/b/a Checkmate Express DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Division of Consumer Services 150 Israel Road SW Olympia, WA 98504-1200 360-902-8703

the Department's entry of this Consent Order and agrees not to further contest the Order to Cease and Desist or the Statement of Charges as it relates to Respondent. The parties intend this Consent Order to fully resolve the Order to Cease and Desist and the Statement of Charges as they relate to Respondent.

Based upon the foregoing:

- A. Jurisdiction. It is AGREED that the Department has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the activities discussed herein.
- B. Waiver of Hearing. It is AGREED that Respondent has been informed of the right to a hearing before an administrative law judge, and hereby waives its right to a hearing and any and all administrative and judicial review of the issues raised in this matter, or of the resolution reached herein. Accordingly, Respondent, by the signature of its representatives below, withdraws its appeal to the Office of Administrative Hearings.
- C. Admissions. Respondent neither admits nor denies the Factual Allegations of the Order to Cease and Desist or the Statement of Charges. Respondent AGREES not to take any action or to make or permit to be made any public statement creating the impression that this Consent Order is without factual basis. Nothing in this paragraph affects Respondent's (a) testimonial obligations; (b) right to take legal or factual positions in defense of litigation; or (c) right to make public statements that are factual.
- D. Cease and Desist. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(b), the Director may order

 Respondent to cease and desist practices which violate the Act. Accordingly, it is AGREED and

 ORDERED that:

1. Respondent permanently cease and desist from offering the Retail Installment Loan Program, as detailed in the Statement of Charges, in Washington.

- 2. Respondent permanently cease and desist from making small loans (payday loans) to Washington borrowers that exceed the statutory limits set forth in the Act, as presently written or hereafter amended.
- 3. Respondent permanently cease and desist from characterizing retail installment sales as loans, and advertising directly or indirectly that retail installment sales are an alternative to or a substitute for small loans (payday loans) made under the Act.
- E. Authority of Department. It is AGREED that nothing in this Consent Order shall be construed as preventing the Department from fully exercising its authority under the Act to investigate and prosecute violations of the Act or this Consent Order by Respondent.
- F. Authority to Execute Order. It is AGREED that the undersigned have represented and warranted that they have the full power and right to execute this Consent Order on behalf of the parties represented. It is further AGREED that this Consent Order may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original, but all of which, taken together, shall constitute one and the same agreement (Consent Order).
- G. Non-Compliance with Order. It is AGREED that Respondent understands that failure to abide by the terms and conditions of this Consent Order may result in further legal action by the Director. In the event of such legal action, Respondent may be responsible to reimburse the Director for the cost incurred in pursuing such action, including but not limited to, attorney fees.
- H. Voluntarily Entered. It is AGREED that the undersigned have voluntarily entered into this Consent Order, which is effective when signed by the Director's designee.
- I. Completely Read, Understood, and Agreed. It is AGREED that Respondent has read this Consent Order in its entirety and fully understands and agrees to all of the same.

23

1	Respondent
2	Checkmate Express Corporation, d/b/a Checkmate Express, by:
3	2/5/13
4	Susan D. Bassford President-Checkmate Express Corporation, d/b/a Checkmate Express
5	2/5//3
6	Linda A. Sonder Date
7	Vice President, Checkmate Express Corporation, d/b/a Checkmate Express
8	Approved as to form:
9	2/5/13
10	Jeremy T. Rosenblum Date
11	Ballard Spahr LLP Attorneys for Respondents
12	DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE
13	2 (8)
14	ENTERED THIS AND OF FEBRUARY, 2013.
15	\mathcal{L}
16	DEBORAH BORTNER
17	Director, Division of Consumer Services Department of Financial Institutions
	Presented by:
18	
19	ANTHONY/W. CARTER
20	Senior Enforcement Attorney
21	Approved by:
22	rapproved of.
23	
24	CHARLES E. CLARK Enforcement Chief
	CONSENT ORDER C-11-006-12-C002 Checkmate Express Corporation, d/b/a Checkmate Express DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Division of Consumer Services 150 Israel Road SW Olympia, WA 98504-1200 360-902-8703

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING
Whether there has been a violation of the
Check Cashers and Sellers Act of Washington by:

CHECKMANIA, INC., d/b/a CHECKMATE, CHECKMATE EXPRESS CORPORATION, d/b/a CHECKMATE EXPRESS, SUSAN DENISE BASSFORD, President, and LINDA SUSAN SONDER, Vice President,

Respondents.

NO. C-11-006-11-SC01

STATEMENT OF CHARGES and NOTICE OF INTENT TO ENTER AN ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, CONDITION LICENSES, IMPOSE FINES, ORDER RESTITUTION, TAKE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION, AND COLLECT INVESTIGATION FEE

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110 and RCW 31.45.200, the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Director) is responsible for the administration of chapter 31.45 RCW, the Check Cashers and Sellers Act (Act). After having conducted an investigation pursuant to RCW 31.45.100, and based upon the facts available as of the date of this Statement of Charges, the Director institutes this proceeding and finds as follows:

I. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

1.1 Respondents.

A. Respondent Checkmania, Inc., d/b/a Checkmate (Respondent Checkmate) is an active Washington for-profit corporation organized in June 1995. Respondent Checkmate was licensed by the Department of Financial Institutions (Department) to conduct business as a check casher and seller on or about October 28, 1996, and has continued to be licensed to date. Respondent Checkmate holds a small loan endorsement from the Department authorizing the company to make small loans in Washington State. Respondent Checkmate is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pacific Rim Capital Alliance Corporation (Pacific Rim). Both Pacific Rim and Respondent Checkmate have headquarters in Carlsbad, California.

STATEMENT OF CHARGES C-11-006-11-SC01 CHECKMANIA, INC., et al. DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS 150 Israel Rd SW Olympia, WA 98501 360-902-8703

Respondent Checkmate is registered to offer small loans over the Internet and from retail stores in four other states, California, Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah. In Washington, Respondent Checkmate is licensed to conduct business from its main location in Tacoma and from approximately 20 branch locations.

B. Respondent Checkmate Express Corporation, d/b/a Checkmate Express (Respondent Checkmate Express) is an active Nevada for-profit corporation organized in April 2002. The corporation was registered as a Washington for-profit corporation in May 2002. Respondent Checkmate Express was licensed by the Department to conduct business as a check casher and seller on or about August 9, 2002, and has continued to be licensed to date. Respondent Checkmate Express holds a small loan endorsement from the Department authorizing the company to make small loans in Washington State. Like Respondent Checkmate, Respondent Checkmate Express is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pacific Rim. Respondent Checkmate Express has its headquarters in Carlsbad, California.

Respondent Checkmate Express is registered to offer small loans through the Internet and from retail outlets in four other states, California, Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah. In Washington, Respondent Checkmate Express is licensed to conduct business from its main location in Lakewood.

- C. Respondent Susan D. Bassford (Respondent Bassford) is the President of Respondent Checkmate and Respondent Checkmate Express, and is a 50% owner of both companies. Respondent Bassford resides in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- D. Respondent Linda S. Sonder (Respondent Sonder) is the Vice President of Respondent Checkmate and Respondent Checkmate Express, and is a 50% owner of both companies. Respondent Sonder resides in Phoenix, Arizona.
- 1.2 Retail Installment Loan Program. On or about June 1, 2010, Respondents Checkmate, Checkmate Express, Bassford, and Sonder (Respondents) introduced their new Retail Installment Loan Program for Washington residents. Under the program, when statutorily barred from making a small loan to a Washington borrower, Respondents offer an alternative small loan in the form of pre-loaded gift cards, in \$100 denominations, issued by local retailers including Safeway, Fred Meyer and Wal-Mart. The borrowers purchase

1	the gift cards from Respondents pursuant to a retail installment contract, agreeing to repay the loan on their next
2	payday. These short-term "program loans," which range from 14 to 45 days in length, carry a 391.11% annual
3	percentage rate (APR).
4	1.3 Making Small Loans in Excess of Statutory Maximum. Respondent Checkmate's Tumwater branch
5	made at least 42 program loans to at least 26 Washington borrowers in 2010. Respondents were statutorily
. 6	barred from making small loans to 22 of the 26 borrowers, as the borrowers had either already received the
7	maximum number of small loans permitted (7 borrowers), were in an installment plan with another lender (12),
8	or both (2), or had reached the maximum principal amount of all small loans (1). Two examples:
9	a. Borrower had taken out his 8 th payday loan on or about August 8, 2010. He subsequently obtained three program loans from Respondents;
10	b. Borrower had entered into an installment plan with another lender on or about May 3, 2010. He subsequently obtained six program loans from Respondents.
11	2010. He subsequently obtained six program toans from Respondents.
12	1.4 Charging Interest on Small Loans in Excess of Statutory Maximum. In 18 of the 42 program loans
13	made by Respondent Checkmate's Tumwater branch, Respondents charged interest exceeding statutory limits.
14	For example, a 28-day, \$100 program loan made to borrower on or about November 2, 2010, had a finance
15	charge of \$30, twice the allowable amount under the Act.
16	1.5 Inaccurate APR Disclosures. In 19 of the 42 program loans made by Respondent Checkmate's
17	Tumwater branch, Respondents' disclosed APR was understated in an amount greater than the allowable
18	tolerance. Two examples:
19	a. Respondents made a 24-day, \$100 program loan to borrower on or about July 10, 2010, with a disclosed APR of 248.82. The fee charged for the loan, \$17.06, represented an actual
20	APR of 259.45; b. Respondents made a 23-day, \$200 program loan made to borrower on or about
21	September 22, 2010, with a disclosed APR of 369.49. The fee charged for the loan, \$49.29, represented an actual APR of 391.10.
22	represented an actual AFR of 591.10.
23	1.6 Failure to Report Small Loans. Respondents did not report program loans on the Veritec data base
24	reporting system, which was established in part to prevent licensees from making small loans in violation of the
25	Act. As an example, borrower took out four small loans, all reported on Veritec, between January 1, 2010,

1	and July 1, 2010. On or about July 10, 2010, Respondents made a \$700 small loan to , and reported the loan
2	on Veritec as having a due date of August 24, 2010. While that loan was still outstanding, on or about August
3	16, 2010, Respondents made a seven-day, \$100 program loan to and did not report the loan on Veritec.
4	Respondents subsequently made three additional small loans to borrower between August and November
5	2010; the final small loan, made on or about November 26, 2010, exceeded the statutory limit.
6	1.7 On-Going Investigation. The Department's investigation into the alleged violations of the Act by
7	Respondents continues to date.
8	II. GROUNDS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER
9	2.1 Prohibited Acts and Practices. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above,
10	Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.05.105(1), (2), (3), and WAC 208-630-8201(1)(f), (j), and (l),
11	for directly or indirectly employing any scheme, device, or artifice to defraud or mislead any borrower; directly
12	or indirectly engaging in any unfair or deceptive practice toward any person; directly or indirectly obtaining
13	property by fraud or misrepresentation; directly or indirectly structuring loan transactions in order to exceed the
14	loan limits in RCW 31.45.073; making loans without processing them through the data base system; and
15	engaging in any device or subterfuge to evade the requirements of the Act.
16	2.2 Statutory Maximum Number of Small Loan. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I
17	above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.073(2), for making small loans to borrowers that
18	resulted in loans exceeding seven hundred dollars or thirty percent of the gross monthly income of the borrower,
19	whichever is lower; RCW 31.45.073(3), for making small loans to borrowers in an installment plan with any
20	licensee; and RCW 31.45.073(4), for making small loans to borrowers that resulted in borrowers receiving more
21	than eight small loans from all licensees in any twelve-month period.
22	2.3 Statutory Maximum Interest or Fees on Small Loan. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in
23	Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.073(5) for charging interest or fees in the
24	aggregate exceeding fifteen percent (15%) of the first five hundred dollars (\$500.00) of principal and ten

percent (10%) of the next two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of principal of the small loans.

1	IV. NOTICE OF INTENT TO ENTER ORDER
2	Respondents' violations of the provisions of chapter 31.45 RCW and chapter 208-630 WAC, as set forth
3	in the above Factual Allegations and Grounds for Entry of Order, constitute a basis for the entry of an Order
4	under RCW 31.45.110 and RCW 31.45.200. Therefore, it is the Director's intent to ORDER that:
5	4.1 Respondents Checkmania, Inc., Checkmate Express Corporation, Susan D. Bassford, and Linda S. Sonder each cease and desist offering and selling the Retail Installment Loan Program to Washington
6	borrowers;
7	4.2 Respondents Checkmania, Inc., Checkmate Express Corporation, Susan D. Bassford, and Linda S. Sonder each cease and desist making small loans to Washington borrowers that exceed the statutory
8	loan limits set forth in RCW 31.45.073;

- Respondent Checkmania, Inc.'s small loan endorsement to its license to conduct business under the Check Cashers and Sellers Act be conditioned to prohibit Respondent Checkmania, Inc. from making small loans for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of entry of a final order in this matter;
- 4.4 Respondents Checkmania, Inc., Susan D. Bassford, and Linda S. Sonder jointly and severally pay a fine which as of the date of this Statement of Charges totals \$150,000;
- 4.5 Respondents Checkmania, Inc., Checkmate Express Corporation, Susan D. Bassford, and Linda S. Sonder jointly and severally pay restitution to each Washington recipient of a loan provided under the Retail Installment Loan Program in the amount of the interest or late charges collected, and forego collecting and waive any unpaid interest or late charges due on those program loans;
- 4.6 Respondents Checkmania, Inc. and Checkmate Express Corporation take the following affirmative actions necessary for compliance with the Act:
 - a. Provide the Department with a list of all Washington borrowers to whom a restitution payment was made, and/or interest due waived, including contact information for the borrower, transaction information related to the program loan provided, the amount of restitution paid or interest due waived, and proof of payment;
 - b. Review and make necessary changes to existing policies and procedures to ensure they address the allegations raised in this Statement of Charges and are reasonably designed to detect and prevent future violations of the Act;
 - c. Provide the Department with proposed training materials to be provided to all Washingtonbased employees concerning compliance with the Act, and provide a minimum four-hour training session to all Washington-based employees concerning compliance with the Act;
 - d. For two years following the entry of a final order in this matter, provide the Department with no less than three-months advance notice of the roll-out or launch of any new loan products in Washington State, preferably by seeking a written opinion of the Director of the Consumer Services Division as to the legality of offering and selling the loan product under the Act; and
 - Provide the Department with the restitution list, revised policies and procedures, and training materials by sending an electronic copy of the documents to James R. Brusselback, Program Manager and Enforcement Chief, Consumer Services Division, at jbrusselback@dfi.wa.gov.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22 23

24

1 2	4.7 Respondents Checkmania, Inc. and Checkmate Express Corporation jointly and severally pay an investigation fee, which as of the date of this Statement of Charges, totals \$9,718.83, calculated at \$69.00 per hour for the 140 staff hours devoted to the investigation, plus \$58.83 in expenses related to the investigation.
3	
4	V. AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE
5	This Statement of Charges and Notice of Intent to Enter an Order to Cease and Desist, Condition
6	Licenses, Impose Fines, Order Restitution, Take Affirmative Action, and Collect Investigation Fee (Statement
7	of Charges) is entered pursuant to the provisions of RCW 31.45.110 and RCW 31.45.200, and is subject to the
8	provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW (the Administrative Procedure Act). Respondents may each make a written
9	request for a hearing as set forth in the NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AND OPPORTUNITY
10	FOR HEARING accompanying this Statement of Charges.
11	- Á
12	Dated this 23 to day of February, 2011.
13	DEBORAH BORTNER
14	Director
15	Division of Consumer Services Department of Financial Institutions
16	Presented by:
17	
18	A VIEW ON THE WAY OF THE PARTY
19	ANTHONY W. CARTER Enforcement Attorney
20	Approved by:
21	and the state of t
22	
23	JAMES R. BRUSSELBACK Enforcement Chief
24	
25	

STATE OF WASHINGTON 1 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS CONSUMER SERVICES DIVISION 2 IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING C-11-006-11-TD01 3 Whether there has been a violation of the Check Cashers and Sellers Act of Washington by: TEMPORARY ORDER TO 4 CEASE AND DESIST 5 CHECKMANIA, INC., d/b/a CHECKMATE, CHECKMATE EXPRESS CORPORATION, d/b/a CHECKMATE EXPRESS, SUSAN DENISE 6 BASSFORD, President, and LINDA SUSAN SONDER, Vice President, 7 8 Respondents. 9 THE STATE OF WASHINGTON TO: CHECKMANIA, INC., d/b/a CHECKMATE; CHECKMATE EXPRESS CORP., d/b/a CHECKMATE 10 EXPRESS: SUSAN D. BASSFORD, President; and LINDA S. SONDER, Vice President 11 12 COMES NOW the Director of the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions (Director), by and through his designee Deborah Bortner, Division Director, Division of Consumer Services, and finding that 13 the public is likely to be substantially injured by delay in issuing a cease and desist order, enters this temporary 14 15 cease and desist order pursuant to chapter 31.45 RCW, the Check Cashers and Sellers Act, based on the following findings: 16 I. FACTUAL FINDINGS 17 18 Respondents. 19 A. Respondent Checkmania, Inc., d/b/a Checkmate (Respondent Checkmate) is an active 20 Washington for-profit corporation organized in June 1995. Respondent Checkmate was licensed by the Department of Financial Institutions (Department) to conduct business as a check casher and seller on or about 2.1 22 October 28, 1996, and has continued to be licensed to date. Respondent Checkmate holds a small loan 23 endorsement from the Department authorizing the company to make small loans in Washington State. 24 Respondent Checkmate is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pacific Rim Capital Alliance Corporation (Pacific

Rim). Both Pacific Rim and Respondent Checkmate have headquarters in Carlsbad, California.

Respondent Checkmate is registered to offer small loans through the Internet and from retail stores in four other states: California, Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah. In Washington, Respondent Checkmate is licensed to conduct business from its main location in Tacoma and from approximately 20 branch locations.

B. Respondent Checkmate Express Corporation, d/b/a Checkmate Express (Respondent Checkmate Express) is an active Nevada for-profit corporation organized in April 2002. The corporation was registered as a Washington for-profit corporation in May 2002. Respondent Checkmate Express was licensed by the Department to conduct business as a check casher and seller on or about August 9, 2002, and has continued to be licensed to date. Respondent Checkmate Express holds a small loan endorsement from the Department authorizing the company to make small loans in Washington State. Like Respondent Checkmate, Respondent Checkmate Express is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pacific Rim Capital Alliance Corporation (Pacific Rim). Respondent Checkmate Express has its headquarters in Carlsbad, California.

Respondent Checkmate Express is registered to offer small loans through the Internet and from retail outlets in four other states: California, Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah. In Washington, Respondent Checkmate Express is licensed to conduct business from its main location in Lakewood.

- C. Respondent Susan D. Bassford (Respondent Bassford) is the President of Respondent Checkmate and Respondent Checkmate Express, and is a 50% owner of both companies. Respondent Bassford resides in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- D. Respondent Linda S. Sonder (Respondent Sonder) is the Vice President of Respondent Checkmate and Respondent Checkmate Express, and is a 50% owner of both companies. Respondent Sonder resides in Phoenix, Arizona.
- 1.2 Statutory Loan Limits. Effective January 1, 2010, the Check Casher and Seller Act (the Act) was amended to create a statutory limit barring Washington licensees from making small loans to borrowers under certain conditions. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.073, licensees are barred from making small loans to borrowers if the outstanding principal balances of all small loans made by all licensees to a single borrower exceeds seven hundred dollars or thirty percent of the gross monthly income of the borrower, whichever is lower; if the

borrower is in default on another small loan until after that loan is paid in full or two years have passed from the origination date of the small loan; or if the new small loan would result in a borrower receiving more than eight small loans from all licensees in any twelve-month period.

- 1.3 Respondents' Retail Installment Loan Program. On or about June 1, 2010, Respondents Checkmate, Checkmate Express, Bassford, and Sonder (Respondents) introduced their new Retail Installment Loan Program for Washington residents. Under the program, when statutorily barred from making a small loan to a Washington borrower, Respondents offer an alternative small loan in the form of pre-loaded gift cards, in \$100 denominations, issued by local retailers including Safeway, Fred Meyer and Wal-Mart. The borrowers purchase the gift cards from Respondents pursuant to a retail installment contract, agreeing to repay the loan on their next payday. These short-term "program loans," which vary from 14 to 45 days in length, carry a 391.11% annual percentage rate (APR). If the borrower rejects an arbitration provision in the contract, the APR jumps to 586.665%.
- 1.4 Examination. On January 12, 2011, the Department conducted an on-site examination of Respondents' operations at the Tumwater branch of Respondent Checkmate. The scope of the examination covered compliance with the Act and associated rules. The examination included review and copying of documents relating to the launch of the Retail Installment Loan Program; review and copying of training and marketing materials; and review and copying of the branch's program loan files.
- A. Loans. In November and December 2010, the Tumwater branch made more than 50 program loans to borrowers. A Lacey branch manager assisting during the examination estimated that 60% of the program loans at her branch were made to borrowers who had already received eight small loans from all licensees in a twelve-month period, and that another 30% of the program loans at her branch were made to borrowers who had small loans from a competitor that were in default.
- **B.** Reporting. Respondents do not report program loans on the data base reporting system, which was established in part to prevent licensees from making more than eight loans to any one borrower in any twelvementh period and to prevent licensees from making a loan to a borrower who is in default on a small loan.

- C. Marketing. Respondents advertise the program as an option for Washington residents who are short of cash to "get you through to your next paycheck"
- **D.** Training. Respondents' training documents describe the program loan as a single payment loan where borrowers can defer payment until their next payday, with no limitation on the number of transactions per year.
- E. Incentives. Effective August 2, 2010, Respondents' customer service representatives were eligible to win cash prizes for making the most program loans. In promoting the incentive, Respondents instructed their employees to offer program loans to borrowers who are at their eight loan limit under the Act.
- 1.5 Substantial Injury to Public. The effect of the above-described conduct is that Respondents have and are making small loans to Washington borrowers in excess of the statutory limits set forth in RCW 31.45.073. Other licensees, completely unaware of the program loans, may be making small loans to Washington borrowers in excess of the statutory limits set forth in RCW 31.45.073. Additionally, the conduct results in an unfair advantage for Respondents over those licensees that comply with the Act.

II. GROUNDS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER

- 2.1 Prohibited Practices. Based on the Factual Findings set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.105(1)(a) and (b), and WAC 208-630-8201(1)(f), (j), and (l), for directly or indirectly employing any scheme, device, or artifice to defraud or mislead any borrower or to defraud or mislead any person, for directly or indirectly engaging in any unfair or deceptive practice toward any person, for directly or indirectly engaging in order to exceed statutory limits in RCW 31.45.073, for making small loans without processing them through the data base, and for engaging in any device or subterfuge to evade the requirements of the Act and rules.
- 2.2 Violations of Act and Rules. Based on the Factual Findings set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.110(1)(b) and (h) for violating this Act and rules adopted under the Act, and for knowingly committing or being a party to any material fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, conspiracy, collusion, trick, scheme, or device whereby any other person relying upon the word, representation, or conduct acts to his or her injury or damage.

III. AUTHORITY TO ISSUE TEMPORARY ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST

Pursuant to RCW 31.45.120, whenever the Director determines that a violation of the Act or rules, or their continuation, is likely to cause substantial injury to the public, the Director may issue a temporary order requiring the licensee to cease and desist from the violation or practice. The order becomes effective upon service upon the licensee, and remains effective unless set aside, limited, or suspended by a court under RCW 31.45.130 pending the completion of the administrative proceedings and until such time as the Director dismisses the charges, or until the effective date of a permanent cease and desist order issued against the licensee under RCW 31.45.110.

IV. ORDER

Based on the above Factual Findings, Grounds for Entry of Order, and Authority to Issue Temporary

Order to Cease and Desist, and pursuant to RCW 31.45.120 and RCW 31.45.110, the Director determines the
acts and conduct of Respondents, and the continuation of such conduct, is likely to cause substantial injury to
the public. Therefore, the Director ORDERS that:

- 4.1 Respondents Checkmania Inc., d/b/a Checkmate, Checkmate Express Corporation, d/b/a Checkmate Express, Susan D. Bassford, and Linda S. Sonder, shall each immediately cease and desist from offering or selling the Retail Installment Loan Program to Washington borrowers.
- 4.2 Respondents Checkmania Inc., d/b/a Checkmate, Checkmate Express Corporation, d/b/a Checkmate Express, Susan D. Bassford, and Linda S. Sonder, shall each immediately cease and desist from making small loans to Washington borrowers that exceed the statutory loan limits set forth in RCW 31.45.073.
- 4.3 This order shall take effect immediately upon service and shall remain effective unless set aside, limited, or suspended by a court under RCW 31.45.130 pending the completion of the administrative proceedings and until such time as the Director dismisses the charges, or until the effective date of a permanent cease and desist order issued against the licensee under RCW 31.45.110.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23 ||

24 ||

1	<u>NOTICE</u>
2	PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 31.45 RCW, YOU ARE ENTITLED TO A HEARING TO DETERMINE
3	WHETHER THIS ORDER SHALL BECOME PERMANENT. IF YOU DESIRE A HEARING, THEN YOU
4	MUST COMPLETE AND RETURN THE ATTACHED APPLICATION FOR ADJUDICATIVE HEARING.
5	
6	FAILURE TO COMPLETE AND RETURN THE APPLICATION FOR ADJUDICATIVE
7	HEARING SO THAT IT IS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT WITHIN 20 DAYS OF THE DATE
8	THAT THIS ORDER WAS SERVED ON YOU WILL CONSTITUTE A DEFAULT, AND WILL
9	RESULT IN THE LOSS OF YOUR RIGHT TO A HEARING.
10	
11	SERVICE ON YOU IS DEFINED AS POSTING IN THE U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, TO YOUR
12	LAST KNOWN ADDRESS, A COPY OF THIS TEMPORARY CEASE AND DESIST ORDER, NOTICE OF
13	OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING, AND APPLICATION FOR
14	ADJUDICATIVE HEARING. BE ADVISED THAT DEFAULT WILL RESULT IN THIS TEMPORARY
15	ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST BECOMING PERMANENT ON THE 21 ST DAY FOLLOWING
16	SERVICE OF THIS ORDER UPON YOU.
17	
18	PURSUANT TO RCW 31.45.130, WITHIN TEN DAYS AFTER YOU HAVE BEEN SERVED WITH
19	THIS TEMPORARY CEASE AND DESIST ORDER, YOU MAY APPLY TO THE SUPERIOR COURT IN
20	THE COUNTY OF YOUR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS FOR AN INJUNCTION SETTING ASIDE,
21	LIMITING, OR SUSPENDING THIS ORDER PENDING THE COMPLETION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE
22	PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO THIS NOTICE.
23	
24	
25	
	TEMPORARY ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST 6 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

DEBORAH BORTNER
Director
Division of Consumer Services
Department of Financial Institutions

TEMPORARY ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST C-11-006-11-TD01 CHECKMANIA, INC., et al.