1 STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS 2 CONSUMER SERVICES DIVISION IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING 3 C-11-006-11-TD01 Whether there has been a violation of the Check Cashers and Sellers Act of Washington by: TEMPORARY ORDER TO 4 CEASE AND DESIST 5 CHECKMANIA, INC., d/b/a CHECKMATE, CHECKMATE EXPRESS CORPORATION, d/b/a CHECKMATE EXPRESS, SUSAN DENISE 6 BASSFORD, President, and LINDA SUSAN 7 SONDER, Vice President, 8 Respondents. 9 THE STATE OF WASHINGTON TO: CHECKMANIA, INC., d/b/a CHECKMATE; CHECKMATE EXPRESS CORP., d/b/a CHECKMATE 10 **EXPRESS**: SUSAN D. BASSFORD, President; and LINDA S. SONDER, Vice President 11 12 COMES NOW the Director of the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions (Director), by 13 and through his designee Deborah Bortner, Division Director, Division of Consumer Services, and finding that 14 the public is likely to be substantially injured by delay in issuing a cease and desist order, enters this temporary cease and desist order pursuant to chapter 31.45 RCW, the Check Cashers and Sellers Act, based on the 15 16 following findings: 17 I. FACTUAL FINDINGS 18 Respondents. 19 A. Respondent Checkmania, Inc., d/b/a Checkmate (Respondent Checkmate) is an active 20 Washington for-profit corporation organized in June 1995. Respondent Checkmate was licensed by the 21 Department of Financial Institutions (Department) to conduct business as a check casher and seller on or about 22 October 28, 1996, and has continued to be licensed to date. Respondent Checkmate holds a small loan 23 endorsement from the Department authorizing the company to make small loans in Washington State. 24 Respondent Checkmate is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pacific Rim Capital Alliance Corporation (Pacific

Rim). Both Pacific Rim and Respondent Checkmate have headquarters in Carlsbad, California.

l

Respondent Checkmate is registered to offer small loans through the Internet and from retail stores in four other states: California, Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah. In Washington, Respondent Checkmate is licensed to conduct business from its main location in Tacoma and from approximately 20 branch locations.

B. Respondent Checkmate Express Corporation, d/b/a Checkmate Express (Respondent Checkmate Express) is an active Nevada for-profit corporation organized in April 2002. The corporation was registered as a Washington for-profit corporation in May 2002. Respondent Checkmate Express was licensed by the Department to conduct business as a check casher and seller on or about August 9, 2002, and has continued to be licensed to date. Respondent Checkmate Express holds a small loan endorsement from the Department authorizing the company to make small loans in Washington State. Like Respondent Checkmate, Respondent Checkmate Express is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pacific Rim Capital Alliance Corporation (Pacific Rim). Respondent Checkmate Express has its headquarters in Carlsbad, California.

Respondent Checkmate Express is registered to offer small loans through the Internet and from retail outlets in four other states: California, Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah. In Washington, Respondent Checkmate Express is licensed to conduct business from its main location in Lakewood.

- C. Respondent Susan D. Bassford (Respondent Bassford) is the President of Respondent Checkmate and Respondent Checkmate Express, and is a 50% owner of both companies. Respondent Bassford resides in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- D. Respondent Linda S. Sonder (Respondent Sonder) is the Vice President of Respondent Checkmate and Respondent Checkmate Express, and is a 50% owner of both companies. Respondent Sonder resides in Phoenix, Arizona.
- 1.2 Statutory Loan Limits. Effective January 1, 2010, the Check Casher and Seller Act (the Act) was amended to create a statutory limit barring Washington licensees from making small loans to borrowers under certain conditions. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.073, licensees are barred from making small loans to borrowers if the outstanding principal balances of all small loans made by all licensees to a single borrower exceeds seven hundred dollars or thirty percent of the gross monthly income of the borrower, whichever is lower; if the

borrower is in default on another small loan until after that loan is paid in full or two years have passed from the origination date of the small loan; or if the new small loan would result in a borrower receiving more than eight small loans from all licensees in any twelve-month period.

- 1.3 Respondents' Retail Installment Loan Program. On or about June 1, 2010, Respondents Checkmate, Checkmate Express, Bassford, and Sonder (Respondents) introduced their new Retail Installment Loan Program for Washington residents. Under the program, when statutorily barred from making a small loan to a Washington borrower, Respondents offer an alternative small loan in the form of pre-loaded gift cards, in \$100 denominations, issued by local retailers including Safeway, Fred Meyer and Wal-Mart. The borrowers purchase the gift cards from Respondents pursuant to a retail installment contract, agreeing to repay the loan on their next payday. These short-term "program loans," which vary from 14 to 45 days in length, carry a 391.11% annual percentage rate (APR). If the borrower rejects an arbitration provision in the contract, the APR jumps to 586.665%.
- 1.4 Examination. On January 12, 2011, the Department conducted an on-site examination of Respondents' operations at the Tumwater branch of Respondent Checkmate. The scope of the examination covered compliance with the Act and associated rules. The examination included review and copying of documents relating to the launch of the Retail Installment Loan Program; review and copying of training and marketing materials; and review and copying of the branch's program loan files.
- A. Loans. In November and December 2010, the Tumwater branch made more than 50 program loans to borrowers. A Lacey branch manager assisting during the examination estimated that 60% of the program loans at her branch were made to borrowers who had already received eight small loans from all licensees in a twelve-month period, and that another 30% of the program loans at her branch were made to borrowers who had small loans from a competitor that were in default.
- **B.** Reporting. Respondents do not report program loans on the data base reporting system, which was established in part to prevent licensees from making more than eight loans to any one borrower in any twelvement period and to prevent licensees from making a loan to a borrower who is in default on a small loan.

- C. Marketing. Respondents advertise the program as an option for Washington residents who are short of cash to "get you through to your next paycheck"
- **D.** Training. Respondents' training documents describe the program loan as a single payment loan where borrowers can defer payment until their next payday, with no limitation on the number of transactions per year.
- E. Incentives. Effective August 2, 2010, Respondents' customer service representatives were eligible to win cash prizes for making the most program loans. In promoting the incentive, Respondents instructed their employees to offer program loans to borrowers who are at their eight loan limit under the Act.
- 1.5 Substantial Injury to Public. The effect of the above-described conduct is that Respondents have and are making small loans to Washington borrowers in excess of the statutory limits set forth in RCW 31.45.073. Other licensees, completely unaware of the program loans, may be making small loans to Washington borrowers in excess of the statutory limits set forth in RCW 31.45.073. Additionally, the conduct results in an unfair advantage for Respondents over those licensees that comply with the Act.

II. GROUNDS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER

- 2.1 Prohibited Practices. Based on the Factual Findings set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.105(1)(a) and (b), and WAC 208-630-8201(1)(f), (j), and (l), for directly or indirectly employing any scheme, device, or artifice to defraud or mislead any borrower or to defraud or mislead any person, for directly or indirectly engaging in any unfair or deceptive practice toward any person, for directly or indirectly structuring a loan transaction in order to exceed statutory limits in RCW 31.45.073, for making small loans without processing them through the data base, and for engaging in any device or subterfuge to evade the requirements of the Act and rules.
- 2.2 Violations of Act and Rules. Based on the Factual Findings set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.110(1)(b) and (h) for violating this Act and rules adopted under the Act, and for knowingly committing or being a party to any material fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, conspiracy, collusion, trick, scheme, or device whereby any other person relying upon the word, representation, or conduct acts to his or her injury or damage.

III. AUTHORITY TO ISSUE TEMPORARY ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST

Pursuant to RCW 31.45.120, whenever the Director determines that a violation of the Act or rules, or their continuation, is likely to cause substantial injury to the public, the Director may issue a temporary order requiring the licensee to cease and desist from the violation or practice. The order becomes effective upon service upon the licensee, and remains effective unless set aside, limited, or suspended by a court under RCW 31.45.130 pending the completion of the administrative proceedings and until such time as the Director dismisses the charges, or until the effective date of a permanent cease and desist order issued against the licensee under RCW 31.45.110.

IV. ORDER

Based on the above Factual Findings, Grounds for Entry of Order, and Authority to Issue Temporary Order to Cease and Desist, and pursuant to RCW 31.45.120 and RCW 31.45.110, the Director determines the acts and conduct of Respondents, and the continuation of such conduct, is likely to cause substantial injury to the public. Therefore, the Director ORDERS that:

- 4.1 Respondents Checkmania Inc., d/b/a Checkmate, Checkmate Express Corporation, d/b/a Checkmate Express, Susan D. Bassford, and Linda S. Sonder, shall each immediately cease and desist from offering or selling the Retail Installment Loan Program to Washington borrowers.
- 4.2 Respondents Checkmania Inc., d/b/a Checkmate, Checkmate Express Corporation, d/b/a Checkmate Express, Susan D. Bassford, and Linda S. Sonder, shall each immediately cease and desist from making small loans to Washington borrowers that exceed the statutory loan limits set forth in RCW 31.45.073.
- 4.3 This order shall take effect immediately upon service and shall remain effective unless set aside, limited, or suspended by a court under RCW 31.45.130 pending the completion of the administrative proceedings and until such time as the Director dismisses the charges, or until the effective date of a permanent cease and desist order issued against the licensee under RCW 31.45.110.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22 11

23 ||

//

24

1	<u>NOTICE</u>
2	PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 31.45 RCW, YOU ARE ENTITLED TO A HEARING TO DETERMINE
3	WHETHER THIS ORDER SHALL BECOME PERMANENT. IF YOU DESIRE A HEARING, THEN YOU
4	MUST COMPLETE AND RETURN THE ATTACHED APPLICATION FOR ADJUDICATIVE HEARING.
5	
6	FAILURE TO COMPLETE AND RETURN THE APPLICATION FOR ADJUDICATIVE
7	HEARING SO THAT IT IS RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT WITHIN 20 DAYS OF THE DATE
8	THAT THIS ORDER WAS SERVED ON YOU WILL CONSTITUTE A DEFAULT, AND WILL
9	RESULT IN THE LOSS OF YOUR RIGHT TO A HEARING.
10	
11	SERVICE ON YOU IS DEFINED AS POSTING IN THE U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, TO YOUR
12	LAST KNOWN ADDRESS, A COPY OF THIS TEMPORARY CEASE AND DESIST ORDER, NOTICE OF
13	OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING, AND APPLICATION FOR
14	ADJUDICATIVE HEARING. BE ADVISED THAT DEFAULT WILL RESULT IN THIS TEMPORARY
15	ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST BECOMING PERMANENT ON THE 21 ST DAY FOLLOWING
16	SERVICE OF THIS ORDER UPON YOU.
17	
18	PURSUANT TO RCW 31.45.130, WITHIN TEN DAYS AFTER YOU HAVE BEEN SERVED WITH
19	THIS TEMPORARY CEASE AND DESIST ORDER, YOU MAY APPLY TO THE SUPERIOR COURT IN
20	THE COUNTY OF YOUR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS FOR AN INJUNCTION SETTING ASIDE,
21	LIMITING, OR SUSPENDING THIS ORDER PENDING THE COMPLETION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE
22	PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO THIS NOTICE.
23	
24	
25	//

1	DATED this 19th day of January, 2011.
2	
3	the art to
4	DEBORAH BORTNER Director
5	Division of Consumer Services Department of Financial Institutions
6	
7	Presented by:
8	14 . 10. 4
9	Anthony W. Carter Enforcement Attorney
10	Enforcement Attorney
1	Approved by:
2	1 m 11-1
13	JAMES R. BRUSSELBACK
14	chforcement Chief
15	
6	
7	
18	
9	

· 20

21

22

23

24