ORDER SUMMARY – Case Number: C-07-484

Name(s):	1 st Columbia Mortgage Corporation			
	Joseph William Searles			
	Michael William Gannon			
Order Number:	C-07-484-10-CO03			
Effective Date:	September 2, 2011			
License Number: Or NMLS Identifier [U/L]	DFI: 18756 [NMLS: 3201] -1 st Columbia DFI: 21304 [NMLS: 56143] -Searles			
Of NVILS Identifier [O/L]	DFI: 37449 [NMLS: 121330] -Gannon			
License Effect:	(Revoked, suspended, stayed, application denied or withdrawn) If applicable, you must specifically note the ending dates of terms. Suspension -1 st Columbia and Joseph Searles			
	3 yr suspension (stayed)- compliance exam to be done at 18 months so			
	we can file to lift the stay before 24 months.			
Not Apply Until:	5 yrs [1 st Columbia & Joseph Searles]			
Not Eligible Until:				
Prohibition/Ban Until:	5 yrs [1st Columbia & Joseph Searles]			
Investigation Costs	\$9,408	Due	Paid ☐ Y ⊠ N	Date
Fine	\$	Due	Paid N	Date
Assessment(s)	\$	Due	Paid Y N	Date
Restitution	\$1,199.36	Due	Paid ⊠ Y □ N	Date
Judgment	\$9,408	Due	Paid Y N	Date
Satisfaction of Judgment 1	☐ Y ⊠ N			
	,			
Comments:				

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING Whether there has been a violation of the Mortgage Broker Practices Act of Washington by: NO. C-07-484-10-CO03

1ST COLUMBIA MORTGAGE CORPORATION, JOSEPH WILLIAM SEARLES, President, Owner, and Designated Broker, and MICHAEL WILLIAM GANNON, Loan Originator, MICHAEL ALAN HONOROF, Loan Originator, NORMAN VINCENT KEELES, Loan Originator,

CONSENT ORDER

1st COLUMBIA MORTGAGE CORPORATION, JOSEPH WILLIAM SEARLES, AND MICHAEL WILLIAM GANNON

12

13

14

15

16

17

COMES NOW the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions (Director), through his designee Deborah Bortner, Division Director, Division of Consumer Services, and 1st Columbia Mortgage Corporation (Respondent 1st Columbia), Joseph William Searles, President, Owner, and Designated Broker (Respondent Searles), and Michael William Gannon, Loan Originator (Respondent Gannon), collectively referred to herein as Respondents, by and through their attorney, James J. Jameson, and finding that the issues raised in the abovecaptioned matter may be economically and efficiently settled as related to Respondents, agree to the entry of this Consent Order. This Consent Order is entered pursuant to chapter 19.146 of Revised Code of Washington (RCW), and RCW 34.05.060 of the Administrative Procedure Act, based on the following:

Respondents.

18

19

20

21

22

23

AGREEMENT AND ORDER

The Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Consumer Services (Department) and Respondents have agreed upon a basis for resolution of the matters as related to Respondents alleged in Statement of Charges No.C-07-484-09-SC02 (Statement of Charges), entered December 7, 2009, (copy attached hereto). Pursuant to chapter 19.146 RCW, the Mortgage Broker Practices Act (Act) and RCW 34.05.060 of the Administrative Procedure Act, Respondents hereby agree to the Department's entry of this Consent Order and further agree that the issues raised in the above-captioned matter as related to Respondents may be economically and efficiently

l

24 25

> CONSENT ORDER C-07-484-10-CO03 IST COLUMBIA MORTGAGE CORPORATION, JOSEPH WILLIAM SEARLES, and MICHAEL WILLIAM GANNON

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Division of Consumer Services 150 Israel Rd SW PO Box 41200 Olympia, WA 98504-1200 (360) 902-8703

25

settled by entry of this Consent Order. The parties intend this Consent Order to fully resolve the Statement of Charges.

Based upon the foregoing:

- A. **Jurisdiction.** It is AGREED that the Department has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the activities discussed herein.
- B. Waiver of Hearing. It is AGREED that Respondents have been informed of the right to a hearing before an administrative law judge, and hereby waive their right to a hearing and any and all administrative and judicial review of the issues raised in this matter, or of the resolution reached herein. Accordingly, Respondents, by their signatures below, withdraw their appeal to the Office of Administrative Hearings.
- C. License Suspensions (Imposed). It is AGREED that: Respondent 1st Columbia's license to engage in the business of a mortgage broker is suspended for five (5) years from the date of entry of this Consent Order. It is also AGREED that Respondent Searles's license to engage in the business of a loan originator is suspended for five (5) years from the date of the entry of this Consent Order.
- D. License Suspension (Stayed). It is AGREED that Respondent Gannon's license to engage in the business of a loan originator is suspended for three (3) years. However, it is FURTHER AGREED that subject to paragraphs E, F, and G of this Consent Order, the suspension shall be stayed for a period of two (2) years from the date of entry of this Consent Order.
- E. Compliance Examination. It is AGREED that Respondent Gannon is subject to a compliance examination during the stayed suspension time period to be conducted by the Department at Respondent Gannon's expense. Respondent Gannon further AGREES to promptly respond and address any and all issues, if any, identified in the compliance examination to the satisfaction of the Department.
 - F. Lifting of Stay. It is AGREED that:
 - 1. If, during the stay, Respondent Gannon does not comply with the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, 12 U.S.C. §2601 and Regulation X, 24 C.F.R. §3500, which prohibits receipt of referral and unearned fees, or otherwise violates RCW 19.146.0201(1), (2), (6) and (11) or RCW 19.146.030, or violates any of the terms and conditions of this Consent

24

25

Order, and the Department seeks to lift the stay, the Department will first notify Respondent Gannon in writing. The notice will set forth a description of the alleged violations; the stay the Department is seeking to lift; the opportunity to request an expedited adjudicative hearing, including the time and manner in which Respondent Gannon may request such a hearing; and a copy of this Consent Order.

- 2. If Respondent Gannon does not request an expedited adjudicative hearing in the time and manner directed, the Department will immediately suspend Respondent Gannon's loan originator license.
- 3. If requested, the expedited adjudicative hearing will commence within 15 business days (or as soon as the schedule of the Office of Administrative Hearings permits) from the receipt of Respondent Gannon's timely request for expedited adjudicative hearing. The parties will accommodate the prompt scheduling of the hearing, the scope of which will be limited to whether or not Respondent Gannon has violated the terms and conditions of this Consent Order.
- 4. At the conclusion of the expedited adjudicative hearing, the Administrative Law Judge will issue an initial decision. Either party may timely file a petition for review with the Director of the Department.
- G. Expiration of Stay: It is AGREED that if, no notification to lift the stay for alleged violations of this Consent Order is issued to Respondent Gannon within 15 days following the expiration of the stay, the Department will consider the conditions of the stay completed. In that event, in connection with this Consent Order, the Department will not seek to suspend Respondent Gannon's loan originator license.
- H. **Prohibition from Industry.** It is AGREED that Respondent 1st Columbia and Respondent Searles are prohibited from participating in the conduct of the affairs of any mortgage broker or consumer lender licensed by the Department or any person subject to licensure or regulation by the Department for five (5) years from the date of entry of this Consent Order.
- I. Prohibition from Application for Licensure. It is AGREED that Respondent 1ST Columbia and Respondent Searles shall not apply to the Department for any license issued pursuant to chapter 19.146 RCW and chapter 31.04 RCW, under any name, for a period of five (5) years from the date of entry of this Consent Order. Should Respondent 1st Columbia or Respondent Searles apply to the Department for a mortgage broker or mortgage loan originator license at any time later than five (5) years from the date of entry of this Consent Order,

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Respondent 1st Columbia and Respondent Searles shall be required to meet any and all application requirements in effect at that time.

- J. **Restitution.** It is AGREED that Respondents provided restitution to the consumers listed in the attached Restitution Schedule prior to entry of this Consent Order.
- K. **Investigation Fee.** It is AGREED that Respondent 1st Columbia and Respondent Searles shall pay to the Department an investigation fee of \$9,408, in the form of a Confession of Judgment.
- L. Declaration of Financial Condition and Confession of Judgment. It is AGREED that

 Respondents have provided the Department with a Declaration comprehensively describing their current financial condition and representing their current inability to pay the investigation fee agreed to in Paragraph K of this

 Consent Order. It is further AGREED that, based on this Declaration, the Department has accepted a Confession of Judgment from Respondent 1st Columbia and Respondent Searles for the investigation fee obligations agreed to in Paragraph K of this Consent Order. A copy of this Confession of Judgment is attached and incorporated into this Consent Order by this reference. Consistent with Chapter 4.60 of the Revised Code of Washington, the Department may immediately seek entry of the judgment. Respondents shall, upon the Department's request, fully and promptly cooperate with the Department in its efforts to get the judgment entered by the superior court.
- M. Confession of Judgment for Investigation Fee. It is AGREED that Respondent 1st Columbia and Respondent Searles have entered into a Confession of Judgment for the investigation fee of \$9,408 (Paragraph K above) to the Department.
- N. Authority to Execute Order. It is AGREED that the undersigned have represented and warranted that they have the full power and right to execute this Consent Order on behalf of the parties represented.
- O. Non-Compliance with Order. It is AGREED that Respondents understand that failure to abide by the terms and conditions of this Consent Order may result in further legal action by the Director. In the event of such legal action, Respondents may be responsible to reimburse the Director for the cost incurred in pursuing such action, including but not limited to, attorney fees.

22

23

24

25

CONSENT ORDER C-07-484-10-CO03 IST COLUMBIA MORTGAGE CORPORATION, JOSEPH WILLIAM SEARLES, and MICHAEL WILLIAM GANNON

6

DEBORAH BORTNER

Division of Consumer Services Department of Financial Institutions

Director

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Division of Consumer Services 150 Israel Rd SW PO Box 41200 Olympia, WA 98504-1200 (360) 902-8703

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING Whether there has been a violation of the Mortgage Broker Practices Act of Washington by: NO. C-07-484-09-SC02

1st COLUMBIA MORTGAGE CORPORATION, JOSEPH WILLIAM SEARLES, President, Owner, and Designated Broker, MICHAEL WILLIAM GANNON, Loan Originator, MICHAEL ALAN HONOROF, Loan Originator, and NORMAN VINCENT KEELE, Loan Originator,

STATEMENT OF CHARGES and NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENTER AN ORDER TO REVOKE OR SUSPEND LICENSE, PROHIBIT FROM INDUSTRY, IMPOSE FINE, ORDER RESTITUTION, AND COLLECT INVESTIGATION FEE

Respondents.

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to RCW 19.146.220 and RCW 19.146.223, the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Director) is responsible for the administration of chapter 19.146 RCW, the Mortgage Broker Practices Act (Act)¹. After having conducted an investigation pursuant to RCW 19.146.235, and based upon the facts available as of the date of this Statement of Charges and Notice of Intention to Enter an Order to Revoke or Suspend License, Prohibit from Industry, Impose Fine, Order Restitution, and Collect Investigation Fee (hereinafter Statement of Charges), the Director, through his designee, Division of Consumer Services Director Deborah Bortner, institutes this proceeding and finds as follows:

I. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

1.1 Respondents.

A. 1st Columbia Mortgage Corporation (Respondent 1st Columbia) was licensed by the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Department) to conduct business as a mortgage broker on June 17, 1998, and continued to be licensed until its license expired on December 31, 2008.

1

1 RCW 19.146 (2006)

STATEMENT OF CHARGES C-07-484-09-SC02 1st Columbia Mortgage Corporation, Joseph William Searles, Michael William Gannon, Norman Vincent Keele, and Michael Alan Honorof

PO Box 41200 Olympia, WA 98504-1200 (360) 902-8703

borrower in December 2007 in applying to obtain or obtaining a residential mortgage loan on property located

in the state of Washington. Respondent Honorof did not apply for a loan originator's license until January 2,

2008, and the Department did not issue a loan originator's license to Respondent Honorof until February 1,

23

24

2008. Respondent Honorof continued to be licensed as a loan originator until his license expired on December 31, 2008.

B Respondent Keele conducted the business of a loan originator at Respondent 1st Columbia's main office in at least September 2007. Respondent Keele assisted at least one borrower in September 2007 in applying to obtain or in obtaining a residential mortgage loan on property located in Washington.

C Respondent Keele applied for a loan originator's license with the Department on or about January 2, 2007. Respondent Keele submitted his application for a loan originator license under a mortgage broker licensed under the Act that was not Respondent 1st Columbia. At no time, did Respondent Keele or Respondent 1st Columbia notify the Department of an affiliation between Respondent Keele and Respondent 1st Columbia. The Department rejected Respondent Keele's loan originator's application on August 23, 2007. To date, the Department has not issued a license to Respondent Keele to conduct the business of a loan originator from any location.

- 1.4 Failure to Provide Accurate Lock-in Disclosures or Agreements. In each of the 36 loan files reviewed, Respondents² did not maintain evidence sufficient to establish that Respondents' rate-lock disclosures or agreements contained a disclosure notifying the consumer that "if a lock-in agreement has not been entered into, the disclosed interest rate and terms are subject to change", or Respondents did not complete the expiration date or other terms of the lock-in agreement, or Respondents did not provide the disclosure to borrowers within three business days of the borrower's loan application.
- 1.5 Failure to Provide "If the Borrower is Unable to Obtain a Loan" Disclosure. In each of the 36 loan files reviewed, Respondents did not maintain records sufficient to establish that "if a borrower is unable to obtain a loan" disclosures were provided to borrowers within three business days of the borrowers' loan application, or Respondents did not provide the disclosures to borrowers within three business days of the borrowers' loan application.

2425

22

² Unless specifically stated otherwise, Respondents refers to Respondents 1st Columbia, Joseph Searles, Michael Gannon, Michael Honorof and Norman Vincent Keele.

Loan Servicing Agreements to borrowers granting Respondents the right to file a Lis Pendens on any real property interest owned by the borrower for collection of third-party fees or a processing fee owed to Respondent 1st Columbia in the event the loan was cancelled and the borrower did not pay the third-party fees or the processing fee owed to Respondent 1st Columbia.

1.7 Failure to Correctly Disclose Yield Spread Premium. In 27 of 36 loan files reviewed, Respondents³ did not maintain evidence sufficient to establish that the Yield Spread Premium (YSP) was correctly disclosed on Good Faith Estimates (GFE) given to borrowers or Respondents did not correctly disclose the YSP to the borrowers in that Respondents did not disclose the YSP on the GFE, or did not disclose the YSP in the 800 section of the GFE, or did not disclose the YSP as a dollar amount or dollar range, or did not use the words "yield spread premium", or did not provide a new GFE at least three days prior to closing when the YSP increased from that previously disclosed.

1.8 Failure to Correctly Disclose Fees in the GFE that Inure to Respondents' Benefit. In 23 of the 36 loan files reviewed, Respondents⁴ did not maintain evidence sufficient to establish that Respondents correctly disclosed fees that inured to the mortgage broker's benefit on the GFE in that Respondents did not specifically identify in the GFE each fee that inured to Respondents' benefit, or did not disclose all fees that inured to Respondents' benefit on the GFE or provide a new GFE at least three days prior to closing, or Respondents used line 801 of the GFE to disclose mortgage broker fees.

1.9 Failure to Deposit All Monies Received for Third Party Fees into Trust Account. Respondents did not provide evidence to the Department sufficient to establish that Respondents maintained a trust account for third-party fees received by Respondent 1st Columbia's from escrow. In the alternative, on 22 of the 36 loan files reviewed, Respondents did not maintain evidence sufficient to establish that Respondents deposited escrow checks that included the borrowers' third-party credit report fees or appraisal fees into a trust account. Instead,

24

25

20

21

22

23

³ For purposes of paragraph 1.7, "Respondents" does not include Respondent Keele.

⁴ For purposes of paragraph 1.8, "Respondents" does not include Respondent Honorof.

8

1011

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25 mail and email. The

obtained a mortgage fee of \$7,000 related to the transaction with Company A. This fee is excessive for the amount of services performed by Respondents. In the alternative, Respondents did not provide an Affiliated Business Disclosure to the borrower.

D Respondent Searles provided Borrower 24783 with a Borrower's Certificate and Authorization stating that Borrower 24783 applied for a loan with Company A. However, Respondent Searles knew that Company A does not make or assist anyone in applying for a residential mortgage loan. Company A was in the business of purchasing homes for investment purposes.

1.13 Complaint No. 23470.

A On or about December 18, 2006, Respondent Gannon, acting on behalf of Company A, referred, received, or accepted a referral for Company A of the borrower in Complaint No. 23470 (hereinafter Borrower 23470). On or about December 17, 2006, Borrower 23470 contacted Maag Inc d/b/a Millcreek Mortgage (Maag Inc), a company owned by Respondent Gannon's spouse, for a residential mortgage loan. On or about December 18, 2006, Maag Inc issued a Denial of Credit and as noted above, the matter was referred to Company A. Maag Inc provided no additional services related to this borrower's transaction with Company A. However, Respondent Gannon provided a referral fee of \$15,000 in three separate checks of \$5,000 each from Company A to Maag Inc. This fee is excessive for the amount of services performed by Maag Inc. In the alternative, Respondent Gannon did not provide an Affiliated Business Disclosure to the borrower.

B Respondent Gannon provided Borrower 23470 with a Borrower's Certificate and Authorization stating that Borrower 23470 applied for a loan with Company A. However, Respondent Gannon knew that Company A does not make or assist anyone in applying for a residential mortgage loan. Company A was in the business of purchasing homes for investment purposes.

1.14 Failure to Timely or Completely Comply with a Directive.

A On December 12, 2008, the Department served an entry letter and Designated Broker's

Questionnaire on Respondents 1st Columbia and Respondent 1st Columbia's legal representative by First-Class
mail and email. The entry letter required Respondent 1st Columbia and Respondent Searles to complete the

Designated Broker's Questionnaire and submit a list of all Washington loans originated, brokered, funded, purchased, serviced, or sold between August 31, 2006, and September 30, 2008, by January 7, 2009. Neither Respondent 1st Columbia nor Respondent Searles provided the materials as directed.

B On or about January 8, 2009, Respondent Searles was notified via a telephone conversation with a Department representative that the list of loans and Designated Broker's Questionnaire needed to be provided. Respondent Searles was directed to comply by January 12, 2009.

C On January 12, 2009, while at Respondent 1st Columbia's records location, a Department representative notified Respondent 1st Columbia's legal representative that the loan list and questionnaire still needed to be provided. Finally, via an email sent on January 20, 2009, at 9:06 a.m., a Department representative notified Respondent 1st Columbia's legal representative that the list of loans and questionnaire had not been received, and said information was required before 1:00 p.m. that day.

D On or about January 20, 2009, the Department received an incomplete response to the entry letter and Designated Broker's Questionnaire, in that the response received did not contain a list of loans closed during the exam period, an accurate accounting of the total Washington loans handled (question 9 of the Designated Broker's Questionnaire), or any of the schedules or supporting documentation in the "additional information" section beginning on page 10 of the Designated Broker's Questionnaire.

1.15 Failure to Submit a Mortgage Broker Closure Form or Annual Report. Respondent 1st Columbia and Respondent Searles did not renew their license by December 31, 2008. On or about February 2009, the Department received a Notice of Bond Cancellation from Respondent 1st Columbia's surety bond company. Respondents 1st Columbia and Searles did not provide to the Department, within 20 days of ceasing operations in Washington, a mortgage broker closure form or annual report.

1.13 On-Going Investigation. The Department's investigation into the alleged violations of the Act by Respondents continues to date.

24

23

22

25

150 Israel Rd SW

Division of Consumer Services

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

2

2.1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

II. GROUNDS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER

Definition of Mortgage Broker. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.010(12) and WAC 208-660-010(29),

"Mortgage Broker" means any person who, for compensation or gain, or in the expectation of compensation or

gain (a) makes a residential mortgage loan or assists a person in obtaining or applying to obtain a residential

mortgage loan or (b) holds himself or herself out as being able to make a residential mortgage loan or assist a

person in obtaining or applying to obtain a residential mortgage loan.

2.2 **Definition of Loan Originator.** Pursuant to RCW 19.146.010(10) and WAC 208-660-006, "Loan

Originator" means a natural person who (a) takes a residential mortgage loan application for a mortgage broker,

or (b) offers or negotiates terms of a mortgage loan, for direct or indirect compensation or gain, or in

expectation of direct or indirect compensation or gain. "Loan Originator" also includes a person who holds

themselves out to the public as able to perform any of these activities.

2.3 **Definition of Borrower.** Pursuant to RCW 19.146.010(2), a "Borrower" is defined as any person who

consults with or retains a mortgage broker or loan originator in an effort to obtain or seek advice or information

on obtaining or applying to obtain a residential mortgage loan for himself, herself, or persons including himself

or herself, regardless of whether the person actually obtains such a loan.

2.4 Requirement to Obtain or Maintain Loan Originator License. Based on the Factual Allegations set

forth in Section I above, Respondents Honorof and Keele are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(2) and

(3), RCW 19.146.200(1), and WAC 208-660-350(3) for engaging in the business of a loan originator without

first obtaining and maintaining a license under the Act.

2.5 Responsibility for Conduct of Loan Originators. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.245 and WAC 208-660-

155(3), a licensed mortgage broker is liable for any conduct violating the Act by the designated broker or loan

originator employed or engaged by the licensed mortgage broker. Pursuant to RCW 19.146.200(4)(a) and (b), a

designated broker or principal of a licensed mortgage broker is liable for an employee's violations of the act if

the designated broker or principal directs or instructs the conduct or with knowledge of the specific conduct

approves or allows the conduct, or knows or by the exercise of reasonable care and inquiry should have known

⁶ And any applicable chapter 208-660 WAC.

25

for unfair or misleading or deceptive advertising or for failure to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the advertising material.

- 2.11 Prohibited Fees and Affiliated Business Disclosure. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(6) and (11) and Regulation X, 24 C.F.R. Section 3500.14 and Section 3500.15(1996)⁸ for receipt of unearned referral fees or providing an other thing of value for a loan referral or failure to provide an Affiliated Business Disclosure.
- **2.12** Requirement to Fully and Timely Comply with Directives. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondent 1st Columbia and Respondent Searles are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.235 for failure to timely or fully comply with a Department directive.
- **2.13** Requirement to Submit a Mortgage Broker Closure Form or Annual Report. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondent 1st Columbia and Respondent Searles are in apparent violation of WAC 208-660-163(19) and WAC 208-660-400(14) for failure to submit a mortgage broker closure report or annual report within 20 days of ceasing operations in Washington.
- 2.14 **Prohibited Acts.** Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondents are in apparent violation of RCW 19.146.0201(1), (2), (3), (6), (7), (8), (11), (13) and (15) for directly or indirectly employing a scheme, device or artifice to defraud or mislead borrowers or lenders or any person, engaging in an unfair or deceptive practice toward any person, obtaining property by fraud or misrepresentation, failing to make disclosures to loan applicants and non-institutional investors as required by RCW 19.146.030 and any other applicable state or federal law, making, in any manner, any false or deceptive statement or representation with regard to the rates, points, or other financing terms or conditions for a residential mortgage loan, negligently making a false statement or knowingly and willfully making an omission of material fact in connection with any reports filed by a mortgage broker or in connection with an investigation conducted by the Department, failure to comply with any applicable federal statute or regulation, collecting, charging, attempting to collect or charge or using or proposing any agreement purporting to collect or charge any fee prohibited by

⁸ See also, RESPA, 12 U.S.C. 2607(a) and (b) (commonly referred to as Section 8).

orders directing a licensee, its employee or loan originator, or other person subject to the Act to pay restitution.

24