STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SECURITIES DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING Whether there has been a violation of the Securities Act of Washington by:

Order No. S-19-2768-23-CO01

CONSENT ORDER

Edward D. Jones & Co., LP,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Respondent.

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the Securities Act of Washington, RCW 21.20, the Securities Division of the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions (the "Securities Division") and Edward D. Jones & Co., LP ("Edward Jones") do hereby enter into this Consent Order in settlement of the matters alleged herein. Respondent Edward Jones neither admits nor denies the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as stated below.

An investigation has been conducted by a multistate task force, coordinated among members of the North American Securities Administrators Association ("NASAA"), into Edward Jones. The investigation focused on Edward Jones's supervision of financial advisors who serviced brokerage customers who hired the firm's investment adviser to manage some or all of the customers' securities investments during the period of approximately July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2018 (the "Investigation").

FINDINGS OF FACT

Respondent

1. Edward D. Jones & Co., L.P. ("Edward Jones") is a registered broker-dealer with a principal place of business at 12555 Manchester Road, St. Louis, Missouri, 63131-3710. Edward Jones is identified

by Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA") CRD #250 and has been registered in the state of Washington since April 20, 1983.

Nature of the Conduct

Overview

- 2. Respondent is a financial services firm headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri, that serves over seven million investors across North America. The firm provides its services through its approximately 18,000 financial advisors ("FAs"). The firm's focus is serving the needs of retail investors.
- 3. Respondent has also been registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") as an investment adviser since October 24, 1963 and has been notice filed with the Washington as an investment adviser since March 15, 1994.

Sales of Class A Mutual Fund Shares

- 4. Respondent's general strategy with respect to its brokerage business has been to focus on helping the serious, long-term individual investor by providing investors with information and disclosures to aid in client choices. FAs often worked with customers to offer high-quality investments with the goal of achieving diversification and investing for the long term. Respondent stated in various training materials, workshops, and conferences that mutual funds are a product that aligned with this philosophy.
- 5. Mutual funds typically offer more than one class of shares, with each class carrying different sales charges (commonly referred to as "loads"), expense ratios, and minimum initial investment requirements. Retail brokerage customers are typically eligible to purchase Class A, B or C shares; these share classes have the lowest initial investment requirements. The most common share class sold by Respondent was the Class A share.
- 6. The price of a Class A share includes a sales charge in the form of a single "front-end load" when the shares are purchased. Front-end loads on Class A shares vary but can be up to five percent of the

value of the initial investment. Class A shares, like other mutual fund share classes, also have ongoing annual expenses which affect a client's overall costs over the life of the investment.

7. Class A shares are generally suitable for investors with longer term investment horizons at the time of the purchase. As Respondent's training materials highlighted, in a hypothetical scenario, if a customer's retirement goal, investment objective, or time horizon for an investment is long term, the amortized costs of the sales load on a Class A mutual fund share may be lower than other mutual fund investment options in certain circumstances. For example, Class C shares typically charge no initial "load," but have higher annual expense ratios than A shares, making the C shares more expensive over longer holding periods.

8. Certain FAs serviced customers that purchased Class A shares presuming that the customers would hold the shares for several years. In circumstances where that customer sold the Class A shares sooner than originally anticipated, the customer gave up the originally perceived benefit of having paid a larger front-end load (with lower corresponding annual expense ratios than other share classes).

The Launch of Guided Solutions

9. In or around 2013, Respondent conducted research directed to customers and FAs to explore introducing new types of products and services, including new investment advisory services. These investment advisory accounts differed from brokerage-only accounts in many respects, including, but not limited to, the following: the governing regulations, the applicable standard of care, the type of services provided and the benefits to clients, and the way that fees for the services provided are calculated.

10. Investment advisory fees are generally calculated based upon a percentage of the value of the assets managed pursuant to the investment advisory agreement between the client and the firm. The costs related to brokerage-only accounts are typically commissions based on each discrete securities transaction executed on behalf of the customer (i.e., a per trade commission).

11. In April 2016, the United States Department of Labor adopted its fiduciary rule (the "DOL Rule"). The DOL Rule provided that investment advice to retirement accounts would be subject to a fiduciary standard of care. ²

Offering of Guided Solutions

- 12. In addition to existing brokerage-only account options, Respondent ultimately offered clients several investment advisory account options, including one known as Guided Solutions.
- 13. The Guided Solutions investment advisory account was a non-discretionary account, requiring the investment adviser or its representative (a.k.a., FAs) to obtain approval from the advisory client prior to executing securities transactions in the account. As an investment advisory account, Guided Solutions offered certain ongoing management services, for which Respondent assessed an investment advisory fee. These services included ongoing account monitoring and rebalancing services as well as allocation guardrails.
- 14. Beginning in 2016, Respondent communicated to its FAs how the requirements of the DOL Rule would impact different types of retirement accounts. This included placing the status of "grandfathered" on brokerage retirement accounts a status that would impose limitations on investment activities within the brokerage account.³ Importantly, these included strict limitations on trading, meaning a customer could not continue to build on their investment portfolio within a brokerage-only account.

¹ The fiduciary rule was first proposed by the DOL in October 2010 and then re-proposed in April 2015.

² The fiduciary standard for SEC-registered investment advisers is derived from the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and rules promulgated thereunder by SEC. The governing standard of care for recommendations made to retail brokerage customers became the "Best Interest" standard, rather than the suitability standard, pursuant to the Regulation Best Interest compliance date in 2020.

³ The effect of the DOL Rule was that registered representatives of broker-dealers could not provide investment advice (i.e., securities recommendations) to retirement accounts.

15. Respondent sent each affected brokerage account holder a "Grandfathering Notice" that identified transactions that could and could not occur in a retirement brokerage account after the effective date of the DOL Rule of June 7, 2016.

16. Respondent did encourage its FAs to meet with the customers that they serviced to discuss those customers' options. FAs provided these customers with written information about the various account options as set out in a document entitled "Making Good Choices" that was created by Respondent. The Guided Solutions program, which included advisory services subject to a fiduciary standard of care, was one of the options outlined in the brochure from which customers could choose. After meeting with the FA that was responsible for their account and reviewing their account options, certain customers chose to invest through a Guided Solutions or other investment advisory account rather than a brokerage-only account. Those new investment advisory clients were provided certain required disclosure forms and they each executed written agreements containing the terms of the investment advisory program, including the fees and costs that he or she would be charged for the advisory services provided. The firm also did disclose in its Form ADV brochure that customers "can purchase many of the same or similar investments as those available in an advisory program for a lower fee through Edward Jones as a broker-dealer, although [they] will not receive the additional advisory services."

Class A Share Sales Loads and Corresponding Fee Offset

17. Certain FAs serviced customers who held Class A mutual fund shares in their brokerage accounts and then became Guided Solutions investment advisory clients. And certain of those customers had purchased Class A mutual fund shares in their brokerage account during the two or three years

⁴ The information set out in the "Making Good Choices" document is similar to the information that broker-dealers and investment advisers are now required to provide to prospective customers in the SEC-mandated Form Client Relationship Summary, required under Regulation Best Interest.

22

23

preceding the opening of the Guided Solutions account and at that time had paid a front-end sales load of

up to five percent. When these customers chose to open their Guided Solutions accounts they began a new

and different relationship with Respondent as investment advisory clients and were therefore subject to the

aforementioned ongoing advisory fees upon account opening.

18. Respondent addressed this scenario in several ways, including encouraging FAs to

communicate with clients about these new and different relationships and making disclosures regarding

investment advisory services and fees in its Form ADV brochure and in the investment advisory account

opening documents it provided to clients. Respondent also supervised certain transactions in brokerage

accounts in connection with the opening of Guided Solutions accounts, and continuously enhanced its

procedures beginning in the relevant period, including with respect to how assets under care were invested

in Guided Solutions accounts.

19. Throughout the relevant period, Respondent also provided a prorated offset of investment

advisory fees to clients who, during the two years before becoming an advisory client, paid sales loads for

the Class A shares. However, given the front-end load of up to five percent for the Class A shares, and the

annual investment advisory fee between 0.5 to 1.35 percent, a two-year fee offset did not fully offset the

front-end load paid on the Class A shares previously purchased by certain customers.

20. Certain of these customers had expected to pay no additional out of pocket expenses relative

to their investments in such Class A shares at the time of the Class A share purchase. These customers

ended up opening a Guided Solutions account and paying an ongoing fee for the investment advisory

services provided relative to those assets.

21. In these cases, Respondent retained the front-end load previously assessed on the initial

purchase of Class A mutual fund shares where that front-end load was not fully offset against the annual

investment advisory fees for investment advisory services as described above.

CONSENT ORDER

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Securities Division
PO Box 41200
Olympia, WA 98501-6456

360-902-8760

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

22. Between 2016 and 2018 (the "relevant time period"), the States estimate that certain FAs serviced brokerage customers who became Guided Solutions advisory clients and collectively paid more than ten million dollars in front-end loads for Class A shares in brokerage accounts across the United States and its territories that was retained by Respondent and not applied as an offset to investment advisory fees.

Mitigating Facts

23. In foregoing restitution to Respondent's customers, the States considered the positive performance of the investment advisory accounts (as compared to the brokerage accounts), the low percustomer restitution amount across the affected accounts, the variability in facts and circumstances for each customer, and the prolonged time-frame since the date of this activity.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Edward Jones is a broker-dealer as defined in RCW 21.20.005(1).
- 2. The Securities Division has jurisdiction to enter this order.
- 3. During the relevant time period, Edward Jones did not have reasonably designed procedures with respect to its activities as a broker-dealer that would have detected the conduct described herein to the holding period of Class A mutual funds. Such a failure is grounds for the imposition of a fine and the collection of costs pursuant to RCW 21.20.110(1)(j).

Based upon the foregoing and finding it in the public interest:

CONSENT ORDER

On the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Respondents' consent to entry of this Order,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. This Order concludes the Investigation and any other action that the Securities Division could commence under applicable law as it relates to the substance of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law herein, provided however, that excluded from and not covered by the paragraph 1 are any claims by Securities Division arising from or relating to Edward Jones's failure to comply with the undertakings contained herein.
- 2. This Order is entered into solely for the purpose of resolving the referenced Investigation and is not intended to be used for any other purpose.
 - 3. Respondent shall pay a fine of \$320,754.72.
- 4. Respondent shall pay the costs, fees, and other expenses incurred by the Securities Division, who served as a lead state in the Investigation, in the administrative investigation of this matter, in the amount of \$15,000.00.

CONSTRUCTION AND DEFAULT

5. This Order shall not (a) form the basis for any disqualifications of Edward Jones from registration as a broker-dealer, investment adviser, or issuer under the laws, rules, and regulations of any state, or for any disqualification from relying upon the securities registration exemptions or safe harbor provisions to which Edward Jones or any of its affiliates may be subject under the laws, rules, and regulations of the settling states; (b) form the basis for any disqualifications of Edward Jones under the laws of any state, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, or the U.S. Virgin Islands; under the rules or regulations of any securities or commodities regulator of self-regulatory organizations; or under the federal securities laws, including but not limited to, § 3(a)(39) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Rule 262 of Regulation A and Rules 504 and 506 of Regulation D under the Securities Act of 1933 and Rule 503 of Regulation CF;

17 18

19 20

22

21

23

(c) form the basis for disqualification of Edward Jones under the FINRA rules prohibiting continuance in membership or disqualification under other SRO rules prohibiting continuance in membership.

- Except in an action by the Securities Division to enforce the obligations in this Order, this 6. Order is not intended to be deemed or used as (a) an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any alleged wrongdoing, liability, or lack of any wrongdoing or liability; or (b) an admission of, or evidence of, any such alleged fault or omission of Edward Jones in any civil, criminal, arbitration, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal. Nothing in this Order affects Edward Jones' testimonial obligations or right to take legal positions in litigation in which the Securities Division is not a party. Evidence of any compromise offers and negotiations of the parties related to the Order, including the Order and its terms and any conduct or statements made during compromise negotiations, should not be used as evidence against any Party in any proceeding to prove or disprove the validity or amount of a disputed claim except in an action or proceeding to interpret or enforce the Order.
- 7. This Order shall be binding upon Edward Jones and its successors and assigns, as well as to successors and assigns of relevant affiliates, with respect to all conduct subject to the provisions above and all future obligations, responsibilities, undertakings, commitments, limitations, restrictions, events, and conditions.
- 8. This Order and any dispute related thereto shall be construed and enforced in accordance with, and governed by, the laws of the state of Washington without regard to any choice of law principles.
- 9. This Order is not intended to state or imply willful, reckless, or fraudulent conduct or breach of any fiduciary duty by Edward Jones or its affiliates, directors, officers, employees, associated persons, or agents.

10. Edward Jones enters this Order voluntarily and represents that no threats, offers, promises, or
inducements of any kind have been made by the Securities Division or any member, officer, employee,
agent, or representative of the Securities Division to induce Edward Jones to enter this Order.
11. In consideration of the foregoing, Edward Jones waives their right to a hearing and to judicial
review of this matter pursuant to Chapter 34.05 RCW.
Signed this 17 day of <u>December</u> 2024.
Signed this <u>17</u> day of <u>Becember</u> 2024.
Signed by:
Edward D. Jones & Co., LP
By:/s/ James E. Crowe, III
Title: _Senior Associate General Counsel
Approved as to form by:
James E. Crowe, III
James E. Crowe, III, Attorney for Edward D. Jones & Co., LP
SIGNED and ENTERED this <u>20</u> day of <u>December</u> 2024.

21

22

23

OF DESIDER

Approved by:

/s/ Brian Guerard

Brian Guerard Chief of Enforcement

Reviewed by:

/s/ Holly Mack-Kretzler

Holly Mack-Kretzler Financial Legal Examiner Supervisor $/_{\rm S}/$

William M. Beatty
Securities Administrator

Presented by:

/s/Keenan Osborne

Keenan Osborne Financial Legal Examiner