# STATE OF WASHINGTON SECURITIES DIVISION

1 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING Order Number S-04-013-04-TO01 4 Whether there has been a violation of the ) Securities Act of Washington by: SUMMARY ORDER TO CEASE AND 5 **DESIST and NOTICE OF INTENT TO** 6 The Mainstream Fund Inc. and Lamar IMPOSE FINES AND RECOVER COSTS Thomas, 7 Respondents. 8 9 THE STATE OF WASHINGTON TO: The Mainstream Fund Inc., Lamar Thomas 10 11 STATEMENT OF CHARGES 12 Please take notice that the Securities Administrator of the State of Washington has reason 13 to believe that the Respondents, the Mainstream Fund Inc. and Lamar Thomas, have each 14 violated the Securities Act of Washington and that their violations justify the entry of an order of 15 the Securities Administrator under RCW 21.20.390 against each to cease and desist from such 16 violations. The Securities Administrator finds that delay in ordering the Respondents to cease 17 and desist from such violations would be hazardous to the investors and to the public and that a 18 19 Summary Order to Cease and Desist should be entered immediately. The Securities 20 Administrator finds as follows: 21 TENTATIVE FINDINGS OF FACT 22 **PARTIES** 23 1. The Mainstream Fund Inc. ("Mainstream Fund") is a Washington corporation with its 24 principal place of business in Seattle, Washington. The activities of the Mainstream Fund 25 include offering or selling interests in an investment fund.

1

SUMMARY ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST and NOTICE OF INTENT TO IMPOSE FINES AND RECOVER COSTS

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Securities Division PO Box 9033 Olympia, WA 98507-9033 360-902-8760

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2. Lamar Thomas ("Thomas") is a Washington resident who owns the Mainstream Fund and serves as its only officer and director.

# NATURE OF THE OFFERING

- 3. In approximately April of 2003, Thomas met two married Washington residents (hereinafter, the "Residents") at a Tacoma business. The Residents are both municipal bus drivers. Thomas stated that he sold stocks and bonds, was attempting to grow an African American business, and was looking for clients. Although the Residents indicated that they were not interested at that time, they did give Thomas their phone number.
- 4. Thomas soon called the Residents and continued calling them for the next several weeks. The Residents generally indicated that they were not interested. However, in about June of 2003, one of the Residents discussed with Thomas her desire to invest in Starbucks the approximately \$4,000 comprising her savings. The Residents agreed to a meeting with Thomas at their home about this possible investment.
- 5. In an approximately two-hour meeting, Thomas told the Residents that they would need to invest more than \$4,000 and would need to invest in "half-block" increments of Starbucks. He told them that a "half block" equaled a "quarter share." Thomas said that he could find out more information about investments because he was a licensed stockbroker, giving them the license number 602 197 0645. He said that he held equity positions in Starbucks that he could sell to them for under the current market price. He also "guaranteed" their money back, indicating that he could give them their investment back out of his own funds if necessary. Thomas failed to provide the Residents with financial statements or information of any kind regarding Mainstream Fund or himself.

6.	Thomas gave	e the Residents a '	'StockScouter''	report on S	tarbucks.1	The report rated
Starbucks	on a variety o	f grounds at the ti	me and gave it	an overall r	ating of 4 c	out of 10.

- 7. Thomas also gave the Residents a Qualified Purchaser Questionnaire, Representation Agreement and Subscription Agreement, and a Confidential Offering Memorandum. These documents offered equity interests in an unregistered hedge fund. Among other things, the documents indicated that the offering is exempt from securities registration under the federal Securities Act § 4(2), Regulation D, and similar state laws; cross-referenced an Operating Agreement that was not included; referred to Thomas as a General Partner and potential investors as Partners; restricted the transferability of securities sold under the agreement; described the allocation of positions the Mainstream Fund would acquire to meet its investment objectives; and described Thomas's fee structure.
- 8. Thomas encouraged one of the Residents to transfer IRA funds to the Mainstream Fund. The Resident declined to do so.
- 9. The Residents did decide to invest approximately \$5,700 with Thomas. They gave Thomas a check for \$5,700 made payable to the Mainstream Fund. On the memo line of the check they wrote, "for ½ Block of Starbuck."
- 10. Thomas cashed the check at the MoneyTree, a check cashing business. Thomas never purchased for or provided to the Residents any shares in Starbucks.
- 11. Thomas subsequently told the Residents that Starbucks was unable to honor their stock order because Starbucks had acquired Tully's and needed to protect current investors. He told the Residents that Starbucks would refund their money. When the refund never came,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> MSN Money offers a free online service also known as StockScouter. The information Thomas gave the Residents appears to use a very similar format.

1	Thomas later told the Residents that he would return their money. To date, Thomas has not
2	refunded the Residents their money.
3	MISREPRESENTATIONS AND OMISSIONS
4	12. Thomas stated that he was a licensed stockbroker with license number 602 197 0645.
5	This statement was false or materially misleading. Thomas is not now and never has been
6	licensed to sell stock or any other security. 602 197 065 is the Uniform Business Identifier for
7	the Mainstream Fund.
8	13. Thomas failed to provide the Residents with material information concerning their
9	
10	investment such as financial statements or information for the Mainstream Fund or himself in
11	view of the "guarantee" he made to the Residents of their money.
12	14. Thomas provided documents indicating that the securities he was offering were
13	exempt from registration under the federal Securities Act § 4(2) and Regulation D, and similar
14	state laws. This statement was false or materially misleading as Thomas had simply copied the
15	language, did not file a notice of claim of exemption, and did not otherwise comply with the
16	Regulation D and similar Washington State legal requirements.
17	REGISTRATION STATUS
18	15. Respondents are not currently registered to sell securities as a securities broker-deale
19	or salesperson in the state of Washington and have not previously been so registered.
20	16. The Mainstream Fund is not currently registered to sell its securities in the state of
22	Washington and has not previously been so registered.
23	FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH SUBPOENA
24	17. In March 2004, the Securities Administrator issued a letter warning to Thomas and
25	the Mainstream Fund that they may be violating the Securities Act and served a subpoena on

them. The subpoena required Thomas to testify before, and the Respondents to produce documents to, the Securities Division. On or around April 1, 2004, Thomas met with the Securities Division. He admitted repeatedly contacting the Residents, meeting with them, providing them with the above-identified documents, telling them he could assist them in acquiring Starbucks stock, accepting a check from them to acquire Starbucks, cashing the check, and not providing them with Starbucks stock. Thomas brought no documents to the meeting, but he said he would immediately provide certain documents. Thomas has never produced any documents to the Division.

## EMERGENCY AND PUBLIC INTEREST

18. The Securities Administrator finds that the continued offering of securities as described in these Tentative Findings of Fact and the failure to comply with a Securities Division subpoena presents a threat to the investing public and that and it is in the public interest to enter a summary order against the Respondents.

Based upon the Tentative Findings of Fact, the following Conclusions of Law are made:

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The conduct described in the Tentative Findings of Fact constitutes the offer or sale of a security as defined in RCW 21.20.005(10) and (12).
- 2. The conduct described in the Tentative Findings of Fact constitutes engaging in business as a securities broker-dealer or salesperson, as defined in RCW 21.20.005(3) and (2).
- 3. The conduct described in the Tentative Findings of Fact constitutes a violation of RCW 21.20.010 because Thomas and/or the Mainstream Fund each, in connection with the offer or sale of a security, employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud, relating to the offer to

acquire Starbucks stock for the Residents, the acceptance of money from Residents, and the failure to the acquire Starbucks for the Residents.

- 4. The conduct described in the Tentative Findings of Fact is in violation of RCW 21.20.010 because Thomas and/or the Mainstream Fund each, in connection with the offer or sale of a security, made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, including but not limited to statements or omissions regarding (A) Thomas being licensed as a stockbroker and (B) failing to provide financial statements or information to the Residents.
- 5. The conduct described in the Tentative Findings of Fact constitutes a violation of RCW 21.20.040 because Thomas transacted business in Washington as a broker-dealer or salesperson without being registered as such.
- 6. The offer or sale of Mainstream Fund securities is in violation of RCW 21.20.140 because there was no registration for such offer or sale on file with the Securities Administrator.

#### **SUMMARY ORDER**

Based on the foregoing:

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY SUMMARILY ORDERED that The Mainstream Fund Inc., Lamar Thomas, and their agents and employees each cease and desist from violation of RCW 21.20.010, the anti-fraud section of the Securities Act of Washington.

It is further SUMMARILY ORDERED that The Mainstream Fund Inc., Lamar Thomas, and their agents and employees each cease and desist from offering or selling securities in any manner in violation of RCW 21.20.040, the section of the Securities Act of Washington

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

requiring registration of securities broker-dealers, salespersons, investment advisors, and investment advisor representatives.

It is further SUMMARILY ORDERED that The Mainstream Fund Inc., Lamar Thomas, and their agents and employees each cease and desist from offering or selling securities in any manner in violation of RCW 21.20.140, the section of the Securities Act of Washington requiring registration of securities.

## NOTICE OF INTENT TO IMPOSE FINES AND ASSESS COSTS

Based on the above Tentative Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Securities Administrator, pursuant to RCW 21.20.390 and RCW 21.20.395, intends to order that Lamar Thomas and the Mainstream Fund be jointly and severally liable for and pay to the Securities Division (1) a fine of \$10,000, and (2) the costs, fees, and other expenses incurred in the conduct of the investigation on this matter, in an amount not less than \$1,000.

#### **AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE**

This Summary Order to Cease and Desist and Notice of Intent to Impose Fines and Recover Costs is entered pursuant to the provisions of RCW 21.20.390 and is subject to the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW. The Respondents, The Mainstream Fund Inc. and Lamar Thomas, may each make a written request for a hearing as set forth in the NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING accompanying this order.

If a Respondent does not request a hearing, the Securities Administrator intends to adopt the above Tentative Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as final, make the summary order

1	to cease and desist permanent, and to impose a \$10,000 fine against and to assess \$5,000 from that					
2	Respondent.					
3						
4	WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.					
5	WILLI OF VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS A CRIMINAL OF ENGL.					
6						
7						
8						
9	Dated this 12th day of October, 2004					
10						
11	middel E, Stevenson					
12	MICHAEL STEVENSON Securities Administrator					
13						
14	Approved by: Presented by:					
15						
16	Martin Cordell					
17	Martin Cordell Andrew Ledbetter Chief of Enforcement Financial Legal Examiner					
18	Chief of Enforcement Financial Legal Examiner					
19						
20						
21						
22						
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>						