## STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SECURITIES DIVISION

2	SECURITIES DIVISION		
3 4 5 6	IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING whether there has been a violation of the Securities Act of Washington by:  TERRENCE R. SPRAGUE,  Respondent.  Order No. S-01-039-03-C001  CONSENT ORDER AND ORDER VACATING STATEMENT OF CHARGES S-01-039-01-SC01 AS TO TERRENCE R. SPRAGUE  Respondent.		
7	INTRODUCTION		
8	On August 1, 2001, the Securities Division, Department of Financial Institutions, State of Washington		
9	issued a Statement of Charges, Order No. S-01-039-01-SC01, against Respondent Terrence R. Sprague.		
10	The Securities Division and Respondent Terrence R. Sprague do hereby enter into this Consent Order in		
11	settlement of this matter. Respondent Sprague acknowledges the Division's jurisdiction and authority to		
12	enter this Order in settlement of this matter. Respondent Sprague has cooperated with the Division's		
13	investigation into this matter, will continue to cooperate in related investigations, but neither admits nor		
14	denies the Securities Administrator's allegations. The Securities Administrator finds as follows:		
15	FINDINGS OF FACT		
16	I. Respondent		
17	1. At all times material to this action, Respondent Terrence R. Sprague ("Sprague") was licensed as a		
18	securities salesperson and employed as a registered representative of US Bancorp Investments, Inc. or US		
19	Bancorp Piper Jaffray, Inc. ("USB").		
20	II. Nature of the Conduct		
21	2. This case involved the sale of brokered certificates of deposit ("brokered CDs"). Brokered CDs		
22	differ from regular certificates of deposit ("regular CDs") in that brokered CDs are issued by banks in ver		
23	large denominations. Securities broker-dealers fractionalize the brokered CDs and sell them to investors		
24	CONSENT ORDER 1 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Securities Division		

Securities Division PO Box 9033 Olympia, WA 98507-9033 360-902-8760

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

in smaller denominations, usually under \$100,000. Unlike regular CDs, which mature within six months to five years, brokered CDs generally have a longer term, maturing anywhere from five to twenty years. Brokered CDs usually pay a slightly higher rate of interest than regular CDs, and offer a variety of interest rate terms, including "step-down" rates. With a step-down rate, the issuer pays a higher rate of interest for a short period, with a lower rate paid for the balance of the term.

- 3. Brokered CDs also differ from regular CDs in their liquidity. Unlike regular CDs, which can usually be redeemed before maturity, brokered CDs are callable only by the issuing bank. The effect of this is to greatly reduce the liquidity of brokered CDs. An investor can only liquidate a brokered CD before maturity 1) if a secondary market exists; 2) if the investor is adjudicated incompetent; or 3) when the investor dies, the so-called "death put" provides for liquidation regardless of maturity.
- 4. Between August 28, 1998, and May 24, 1999, Sprague offered and sold brokered certificates of deposit to at least 34 USB customer s. Thirteen customers subsequently filed complaints alleging that Sprague recommended and sold them unsuitable brokered CDs.
  - 5. The following paragraphs provide representative examples of Sprague's unsuitable sales:

## A. Recommendation and Sale to GJS

- 6. GJS<sup>1</sup> was born in 1923, and is a retired buyer for a shoe import company. At the time of the transaction at issue, GJS had an annual income in excess of \$100,000 and a net worth in excess of \$100,000. Before meeting Sprague, GJS had only invested in regular CDs.
- 7. On or about August 28, 1998, GJS called Sprague to inquire about purchasing a money market account. GJS was interested in an account so that would give him ready access to his money and earn a decent rate of return.

We use the customers' initials for privacy protection.

CONSENT ORDER

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Securities Division
PO Box 9033
Olympia, WA 98507-9033

360-902-8760

2

23

24

- 8. Sprague recommended GJS purchase a 20-year brokered Euro American Stepdown CD with an initial rate of 7.25% the first year and a rate of 6.50% for years 2 through 20. GJS understood that the CD met his stated liquidity and growth objectives as he had the option to either take the lower interest rate the second year or to take his money out of the CD. Based on Sprague's recommendation GJS invested \$25,000 in the CD.
- 9. Within a year of his purchase, GJS discovered that the CD would not mature until the year 2018, and that he could not withdraw his funds at the end of the first year without substantial loss. On or about April 9, 1999, GJS sent a letter to Sprague complaining about the liquidity of the CD. In his letter GJS stated, "I'm 75 now and have no interest whatsoever in tying up my assets until 2018."
- 10. On or about April 13, 1999, Sprague replied to GJS by phone and reminded him of the "death put" option in the CD. Sprague proposed that GJS hold onto the CD until August 28, 1999. Based on the value of the CD after the first year, GJS could decide what course of action to take. On or about April 16, 1999, Sprague wrote a letter to GJS detailing the terms of their conversation.
- 11. On or about August 28, 1999, Sprague checked the bid price on the CD. Over the course of the first year, the CD had lost close to 12% of its value, and was now worth approximately \$22,000. GJS refused to sell the CD at that price, and decided instead to file a complaint directly with USB.
- 12. On or about October 28, 1999, GJS filed a complaint with USB relating to Sprague's sale of the brokered CD. After investigating the complaint, USB agreed to reimburse GJS for any losses. On November 23, 1999, GJS signed a Settlement Release with USB and received \$26,550.56 in settlement.

## B. Recommendation and Sale to GAS

13. GAS was born in 1945 and worked as a consultant before retiring in 1997. At the time of the transactions at issue, GAS had an annual income in excess of \$50,000 a net worth over \$100,000. Before

**CONSENT ORDER** 

- 18. In 1989, DB opened an Individual Management Account ("IMA") with USB where he maintained the funds he used in his real estate investments. DB described this account as a cash savings account used to purchase real estate. In early April 1998, Sprague contacted DB and announced that he was DB's new account manager. Sprague asked about DB's investment objectives. By this time, DB had purchased a real estate investment and management company and was working only part time for Windermere. DB explained his business operations and said that he was interested in a decent return with short-term, liquid investments. DB told Sprague that it was critical that he could access the money in his IMA within 30 to 60 days for essential business operations. DB then told Sprague that he was happy with the return and liquidity of his IMA, and purchased no investments through Sprague at that time.
- 19. In the fall of 1998, Sprague called DB again and said that DB could earn a much higher rate of return investing the IMA money in other products. DB reminded Sprague of his investment objectives of growth and liquidity, and Sprague said that he was aware of them and had "just the product for him." Sprague recommended DB purchase a brokered CD. Sprague said that the CD offered a higher rate of return than the IMA and that with two-weeks notice Sprague could liquidate the CD with no penalty.
- 20. On November 27, 1998, based on Sprague's representations that the CD met his investment objective of liquidity and growth, DB purchased a 20-year \$100,000 Provident Ohio Bank step-down brokered CD. The interest rate for the first year was 7.5%, stepping down to 6% for years 2 through 20.
- 21. Sprague listed DB's investment objectives as "Safety" and "Income," on the new account form. Sprague failed to rank liquidity as an investment objective, despite the fact that DB had repeatedly told him that the liquidity of his investments was his primary concern.
- 22. In January 1999, DB had the opportunity to invest in an apartment building. He called Sprague and instructed him to liquidate the CD. Sprague refused, telling DB that he should only liquidate if he needed the money immediately. DB agreed to wait since he had some time before the funds were

1	required. In late March 1999, DB needed the funds in order to take advantage of the investment		
2	opportunity and left a message for Sprague to liquidate the CD. DB received no response from Sprague		
3	and assumed he was selling the CD. Sprague did not sell the brokered CD, and because of the delay, DB		
4	missed the investment opportunity.		
5	23. On or about April 1, 1999, DB spoke with Sprague about his investment and expressed concern		
6	over the lost opportunity. Sprague suggested that DB use his money market funds for real estate		
7	investments. DB told Sprague, as he had on numerous occasions, the funds that were in the CD were		
8	intended for use in his real estate investments. DB again instructed Sprague to liquidate his investment.		
9	Sprague delayed again, asking DB for more time to sell the CD. DB reluctantly agreed, instructing		
10	Sprague to sell the CD as soon as possible.		
11	24. On or about June 1, 1999, Sprague telephoned DB and told him that selling the CD would result in		
12	a significant loss of principal. Sprague had not previously told DB that liquidation could result in a loss of		
13	principal. In response, DB called an assistant manager at Piper Jaffray who confirmed that selling the CD		
14	would result in a 15% loss.		
15	25. On or about July 9, 1999, DB filed a complaint with USB relating to Sprague's recommendation		
16	that he purchase the brokered CD. After investigating the complaint, USB agreed to reimburse GJS for		
17	any losses. On October 15,1999, DB sold the brokered CD for \$86,493.64. On November 8, 1999, DB		
18	signed a Settlement Release with USB and received \$12,772.50 in settlement.		
19			

Based upon the above Findings of Fact, the following Conclusions of Law are made:

the offer and/or sale of securities as defined in RCW 21.20.005 (10) and (12).

21

22

20

1. The offer and/or sale of the brokered CDs as described above by Respondent Sprague constitutes

6

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** 

23

24 **CONSENT ORDER** 

- 2. The recommendation to purchase said securities was made in violation of RCW 21.20.702 because, as set forth above, Respondent Sprague failed to make reasonable efforts to obtain information necessary to make suitable recommendations, and recommended the purchase of securities to his customers without reasonable grounds to believe that such transactions were suitable for those customers.
- 3. Willful violations of RCW 21.20.702 provide grounds, under RCW 21.21.110(1)(b), for the Administrator to limit any application of Respondent Sprague to be registered as a broker-dealer, investment adviser, securities salesperson, or investment adviser representative.

## CONSENT ORDER

Based upon the foregoing,

It is AGREED AND ORDERED, pursuant to RCW 21.20.390, that Respondent Terrence R. Sprague shall cease and desist from offering and/or selling securities in violation of RCW 21.20.702, the suitability provision of the Securities Act of Washington.

It is further AGREED AND ORDERED, pursuant to RCW 21.20.395, that Respondent Terrence R. Sprague shall be subject to a fine in the amount of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000), with the entire amount suspended based on future compliance with this Consent Order. In the event of a violation of this Order, the Securities Division will seek enforcement of the Order and imposition of the suspended fine pursuant to RCW 21.21.395.

It is further agreed and ordered, pursuant to RCW 21.20.110, that for a period of six (6) months from the date of entry of this Consent Order, Respondent Terrence R. Sprague shall not make application for nor be granted registration as a broker-dealer, investment adviser, securities salesperson, or investment adviser representative.

7

CONSENT ORDER

PO Box 9033

360-902-8760

1	It is further AGREED that in consideration of the foregoing, Respondent Terrence R. Sprague		
2	withdraws his request for a hearing, waives his right to a hearing on this matter, and waives his right to		
3	judicial review of this Order pursuant to RCW 21.20.440, and the Securities Division vacates Statement of		
4	Charges S-01-039-01-SC01 as to Terrence R. Spragu	ie.	
5			
6	WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THIS	ORDER IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE	
7			
8	SIGNED this5th day ofMarch		
9			
10	/s/Terrence R Sprague	/s/W. Tracy Codd	
11	Terrence R. Sprague	W. Tracy Codd W. Tracy Codd, P.S.	
12		Attorneys for Terrence R. Sprague	
13			
14	ENTERED this 23 day of March, 2004		
15		Order Entered by:	
16		- 1	
17		Debark R Borner	
18		Deborah R. Bortner Securities Administrator	
19	A d less	Described by	
20	Approved by:	Presented by:	
21	Michael E. Stevenson	Sattony W. Carter	
22	Chief of Enforcement	Anthony W. Carter Financial Legal Examiner	
23			

8

**DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS** 

Securities Division PO Box 9033

360-902-8760

Olympia, WA 98507-9033

CONSENT ORDER

25